



---

# Global Coordination of Third-generation Ground-based Gravitational-wave Detectors

David Shoemaker  
Secretary, GWIC

Sheila Rowan, Chair  
Dave Reitze, Michele Punturo 3G Subcommittee



# The current situation

---

- A network of ground-based GW detectors has succeeded spectacularly
- We see the science potential of a major step forward
- The astronomy world is awakened to the potential of GW by a network that ‘points’
  - » It is the **network** that has broad community impact
  - We need to be proposing a **network** of 3G instruments
- **This is the right time to be formulating the next generation of instruments**



# Timelines for Detectors

- E.g., Initial LIGO → ~20 years from ‘green fields’ to Observatories
  - » 1983 MIT and Caltech jointly present results of the km-scale interferometer study to NSF. Receive endorsement by NSF committee on new large programs in physics.
  - » 1990 The US National Science Board (NSB) approves the LIGO construction proposal, which envisions Initial LIGO followed by Advanced LIGO.
  - » 1994-1995 Site construction begins at the Hanford and Livingston locations.
  - » 2002 The first coincident operation of Initial LIGO interferometers with the GEO600 interferometer.
- Advanced LIGO → ~15 years (but the infrastructure was there)
- 3G detectors are 3-10x ‘larger’ projects (not necessarily longer...)
- Current infrastructures aging
- Sister project LISA launching in 2030’s – multiband detections
- **Yet more reasons to be active now.**



# LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA history

- First generation GW interferometers were independently designed and constructed.
  - » NSF's LIGO, Virgo (joint French, Italian), GEO (joint German, UK)
- Second generation GW detectors had some elements of coordination ...
  - » NSF's Advanced LIGO had US, UK, German, Australian contributions
  - » Virgo/LIGO Trades of technical solutions, leadership headaches
- ... but by and large were independently designed and built
- We now collaborate on the analysis of GW data; LIGO-Virgo agreement (2007), LV pre-agreement with KAGRA (2013)
- LIGO Laboratory and India have initiated a joint project to build a third LIGO interferometer 'LIGO-India' in India by the mid 2020s to expand the capabilities of the existing GW network
- **We already see the strong advantages – and scientific necessity – of cooperation and collaboration.**



# 3G = MegaScience

- The scale of the project (at least two 10+ km class interferometers) may require coordination across collaborations/projects to take advantage of ‘economies of scale’
- Advantages of coordination
  - » (At least partial) homogeneity in design and construction; ‘best of’ solutions, efficient design and build phase, reduced cost
  - » Coordinated site selection for optimal network design
  - » Makes best use of distributed expertise
- Disadvantages of (or challenges in) coordination
  - » Requires establishment of robust management structure, necessitating giving up some control by partners
  - » Schedules can be pinned to the slowest/poorest partner
  - » Requires robust system engineering, establishment of standards, interface control, quality assurance program, ...



# Likely Steps to funding a 3G network

- Current instruments should reach design sensitivity
  - » to have design input for the 3G detectors
  - » to demonstrate to funding agencies that we can deliver
- The science case for 3G detectors must be clear
  - » Compelling to a broad audience, well beyond GW/GR
- Prepare funding agencies that big projects are being planned
  - » E.g., It can take 5 years to get a project 'queued up' in the USA
- The concepts need to pass scientific/technical/organization reviews
- The International planning and coordination of the network needs to be determined, established, and robust
- Need support and advocacy from a large, broad, vocal outside community
  - » They will support GW science because it adds to their science
  - » Astrophysicists, astronomers, nuclear physicists, cosmologists
  - » **→ Need to be generous with GW data!**

# Open Questions

- What should the 3G network look like?
  - » How many? Where? What topology? homogeneous or mixed?
- How to map science case onto detector design?
  - » Eg, 40 km arm length put FSR at 3.75 KHz, in the range of signals produced by BNS mergers
- How much coordination is needed?
  - » **N different detectors**, **N similar detectors**, **N identical detectors**?
- What is the role of the 2<sup>nd</sup> gen detectors in the 3G eras?
- How should we be reaching out to other communities to make them aware and, then, advocate?
  - » Transient and high energy astronomy; numerical GR, nuclear physics; atomic, molecular, optical physics, high energy physics, cosmology; string/quantum theory...
- How should the ground-based GW community interact with the Astro2020 Decadal survey (US) and APPEC Roadmap (EU)?



# How to get from Here to There?

## GWIC (Gravitational Wave International Committee)

Body formed in 1997 to facilitate international collaboration and cooperation in the construction, operation and use of the major gravitational wave detection facilities world-wide

- Affiliated with the International Union of Pure and Applied Physics
  - » From 1999 until 2011, GWIC was recognized as a subpanel of PaNAGIC (IUPAP WG.4).
  - » In 2011, GWIC was accepted by IUPAP as a separate Working Group (WG.11).
- Links to the:
  - » International Astronomical Union (IAU)
  - » International Society for General Relativity and Gravitation (ISGRG)





## Of what is GWIC made?

The membership of GWIC represents all of the world's active gravitational wave projects\*, as well as other relevant communities, covering gravitational wave frequencies from nanohertz to kilohertz. Each project has either one, two, or four members on GWIC depending on size.

**Einstein Telescope** Michele Punturo

**European Pulsar Timing Array** Michael Kramer

**GEO 600** Karsten Danzmann, *Sheila Rowan (Chair)*

**IndIGO/LIGO-India** Bala Iyer, Somak Raychaudhury

**KAGRA** Takaaki Kajita, Yoshio Saito

**LIGO** Dave Reitze, David Shoemaker

**LISA** Kelly Holly-Bockelmann, Bernard Schutz,  
Ira Thorpe, Stefano Vitale

**NANOGrav** Maura McLaughlin

**OzGrav** Matthew Bailes, David McClelland

**Theory Community** Luis Lehner

**Virgo** Jo Van den Brand, Fulvio Ricci

**IUPAP AC2 (ISGRG)** Beverly Berger

**IAU D1** Marica Branchesi

**Executive secretary** : David Shoemaker  
**Co- secretary**: Stan Whitcomb

\*no CMB community membership



# GWIC's role in coordinating 3G detector development

## ***GWIC Subcommittee on Third Generation Ground-based Detectors (charged in November 2016)***

### **GWIC 3G subcommittee Purpose and Mission:**

With the recent first detections of gravitational waves by LIGO and Virgo, it is both timely and appropriate to begin seriously planning for a network of future gravitational-wave observatories, capable of extending the reach of detections well beyond that currently achievable with second generation instruments.

**The GWIC Subcommittee on Third Generation Ground-based Detectors is tasked with examining the path to a future network of observatories/facilities**



## Committee Membership

Michele Punturo – ET (co-chair)

David Reitze – LIGO (co-chair)

Jo van den Brand – NikHef

Takaaki Kajita – KAGRA

Vicky Kalogera – Northwestern

Stavros Katsanevas – EGO

Harald Lueck – AEI

David McClelland – OzGrav

Sheila Rowan – GWIC Chair

Gary Sanders – TMT

Sathyaprakash – Penn State

David Shoemaker – Secretary

- **Overall committee meets biweekly to conduct business**
- **Subcommittees carry out the charge**
- Web Site <https://gwic.ligo.org/3Gsubcomm/>



## 3G Subcommittees

- 3G Science Case
- R&D Coordination
- Community Networking
- Agency Interfacing
- Investigation of Governance Structures



# Science Case Subcommittee

**Mission:** Commission a study of ground-based gravitational wave science from the global scientific community, investigating potential science vs architecture vs. network configuration vs. cost trade-offs, recognizing and taking into account existing studies for 3G projects (such as ET) as well as science overlap with the larger gravitational-wave spectrum.

## Goals

- Develop a robust science case unique to GW observations for the next generation of ground-based detectors
    - build the case based on refereed publications
    - could influence and impact position papers for national and international studies and surveys
- e.g. APPEC and ESFRI roadmaps in Europe, Astro2020 US decadal survey

## Science Drivers

<b>Seed black holes</b>	<b>Multi-messenger observations</b>
<b>Neutron star structure</b>	<b>Extreme gravity</b>
<b>Compact binaries</b>	<b>Analytical and numerical relativity</b>
<b>Cosmology, early Universe</b>	<b>Detector networks</b>
<b>Supernovae</b>	

## Science Case Team

- An open call to join the 3G SCT Consortium in July 2017
- ~ 210 researchers from around the world have joined the consortium
  - » members can join and contribute to as many science working groups as they wish
  - » the nine working groups each have between 20 to 40 members
- Meeting in Potsdam 1-2 October 2018



# R&D Coordination Subcommittee

- **Mission:** Develop and facilitate coordination mechanisms among the current and future planned and anticipated ground-based GW projects, including identification of common technologies and R&D activities as well as comparison of the specific technical approaches to 3G detectors. Possible support for coordination of 2G observing and 3G construction schedules.
- **Activities:**
  - » Review current R&D levels of activity and of collaboration amongst detector groups
  - » Evaluate subsystem designs and interdependencies
  - » Identify technology shortfalls

Light sources (Lasers + squeezers)
Coatings
Low Frequencies (NN) + site requirements
Simulations & Controls
Facilities & infrastructure
Cryogenics
Suspensions and Isolation
Core optics
Aux optics
Quantum noise + Configurations



# Networking Subcommittee

Overseen by co-chairs Michele Punturo and Dave Reitze; provides a coordinating function

**Mission:** organize and facilitate links between planned global 3G projects and other relevant scientific communities, including organizing:

- town hall meetings to survey the community
- dedicated sessions in scientific conferences dedicated to GW physics and astronomy
- focused topical workshops within the relevant communities





# Agency Interfacing and Advocacy Subcommittee

Overseen by Sheila Rowan, as GWIC Chair

**Mission:** identify and establish a communication channel with funding agencies who currently or may in the future support ground-based GW detectors; communicate as needed to those agencies officially through GWIC on the scientific needs, desires, and constraints from the communities and 3G projects (collected via 1) – 3) above) structured in a coherent framework; serve as an advocacy group for the communities and 3G projects with the funding agencies.

- Presentation at APPEC General Assembly, Barcelona (Dec 2017)
- Telecon with GWAC – Gravitational Wave Agencies Correspondents
  - <https://www.nsf.gov/mps/phy/gwac.jsp>



# GWAC

- *“This group's main purpose is to create a direct channel of communication between funding agencies to coordinate the use of existing and explore new funding opportunities for the gravitational wave science community.”*
- **Member Agencies**
  - » Australian Research Council (ARC)
  - » Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI)
  - » Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)
  - » Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT)
  - » Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)
  - » Indian Department of Atomic Energy (DAE)
  - » Indian Department of Science and Technology (DST)
  - » Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN)
  - » National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
  - » National Science Foundation (NSF)
  - » Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO)
  - » Science&Technology Facilities Council (STFC)



# Governance Evaluation Working Group

- **Mission:** By applying knowledge of the diverse structures of the global GW community, propose a sustainable governance model for the management of detector construction and joint working, to support planning of 3rd generation observatories.
- Evaluating governance structures of existing large scale, international scientific enterprises. Their strengths, weakness, and relevance to 3G GW
- Will provide evaluations and make recommendations to the GWIC 3G subcommittee



# Governance Evaluation Working Group Status

Examining  
governance  
structures for 21  
existing/planned  
projects/facilities

ALMA	ITER
AUGER	KAGRA
CERN	KM <sup>3</sup>
CTA	LHC Experiments
DUNE	LIGO
EGO/VIRGO	LSC/VIRGO
ELI	LSST
ELT	SKA
ESS	SNOLab
IceCube	TMT
ILC	



## Time Scales for Completing 3G Subcommittee's Work

- Subcommittees will assemble their reports to have a preliminary report and set of recommendations by the 2018 GWIC meeting (Chicago, July 2018).
- 'Dawn IV' Workshop, Amsterdam August 30-31 discussion of interim results
- Preliminary report will be broadly circulated for comment and input among the relevant communities.
- Interim report not later than December 2018 delivered to relevant communities and GWAC
- Final report sometime in mid-2019
  
- **Contact Michele Punturo or Dave Reitze to engage**
  - » [michele.punturo@pg.infn.it](mailto:michele.punturo@pg.infn.it), [reitze@ligo.caltech.edu](mailto:reitze@ligo.caltech.edu)