



# Inhibition of Cryogenic Mechanical Loss in Thin Films by Thickness Reduction

**Principle investigator** Shiuh Chao

Ling-chi Kuo, Huang-wei Pan, Chi-li Chang, Hsuan-Yu Ho

Institute of Photonics Technologies, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan R.O.C.

LIGO-G1800300-v2



## Cryogenic loss peak of SiO<sub>2</sub> thin films



(review)

#### IBS [R. Robie et al., LIGO-G1601854 (2016)]



IBS, PECVD and APCVD [L.C. Kuo et al., LIGO-G1700300 (2017)]



LIGO-G1800300-v2 LVC meeting at Sonoma State University, CA, USA Mar. 20th, 2018



#### Ion Beam Sputter Apparatus at NTHU







Nano-meter scale ultra-thin layers deposition at NTHU



Schematics of the nano-meter scale thin film stack

[S. Chao et al., LIGO-G1300921 (2013)]

LIGO-G1800300-v2 LVC meeting at Sonoma State University, CA, USA Mar. 20th, 2018



#### Cryogenic loss measurement setup at NTHU (cantilever ring-down)





[S. Chao et al., LIGO-G1700301 (2017)]



#### Ultra-thin TiO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> alternate-stacking samples (deposited by ion-beam sputter)





$$\phi_{c} = r \cdot \frac{Y_{TiO_{2}}\phi_{TiO_{2}}}{Y_{c}} + (1-r) \cdot \frac{Y_{SiO_{2}}\phi_{SiO_{2}}}{Y_{c}}$$

$$Y_{c} = \frac{Y_{TiO_{2}}T_{TiO_{2}} + Y_{SiO_{2}}T_{SiO_{2}}}{T_{TiO_{2}} + T_{SiO_{2}}} = r \cdot Y_{TiO_{2}} + (1 - r)Y_{SiO_{2}}$$

- r: thickness ratio  $T_{TiO_2}/(T_{SiO_2}+T_{TiO_2})$
- *Y* : Young's modulus
- $\phi$  : mechanical loss angle
- *T* : total thickness

oxygen
silicon

5

Theoretical coating loss depends only on thickness ratio but not on the individual layer thicknesses

[L.D. Landau et al., Theory of Elasticity 3<sup>rd</sup> edn (Oxford: Pergamon) (1986)]

[S.D. Penn al., Mechanical loss in tantala/silica dielectric mirror coatings, Class. Quant. Grav. 20 2917-2928 (2003)]

\*Archive TEM pictures for single SiO<sub>2</sub> film and single TiO<sub>2</sub> film deposited under the exact same conditions. LIGO-G1800300-v2 LVC meeting at Sonoma State University, CA, USA Mar. 20<sup>th</sup>, 2018



**Cryogenic mechanical loss results** 





Zoom-in plots are on next page

LIGO-G1800300-v2 LVC meeting at Sonoma State University, CA, USA Mar. 20<sup>th</sup>, 2018



#### Cryogenic mechanical loss results (zoom-in)





Important features beyond predictions from the existing theory as films become thinner:

- 1. Peak height decreased.
- 2. Peak position shifted to lower temperature.



**Peak position shifts** 



Frequency material	~ 673 Hz		~ 1262 Hz		~ 1873 Hz		~ 3828 Hz	
	T <sub>peak</sub>	Peak shift						
SiO <sub>2</sub>	79.4		83.0		82.2		86.4	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	34.6		32.7		40.8		41.8	
theory	75.2	0	80.4	0	74.0	0	81.5	0
19-layer	55.6	-19.6	64.2	-16.2	54.8	-19.2	71.8	-9.7
75-layer	36.3	-38.9	36.8	-43.6	43.2	-30.8	36.0	-45.5

unit: K

- Error for peak position determination mainly came from clamping, it was estimated to be  $< \pm 3K$  for these samples from several re-clamping trials.
- The error was much smaller than the observed peak shifts.
- The error was yet too large for determination of the activation energies of the transition from the  $ln(\omega)$  vs. 1/T plot.



### The mechanism (I)



Classical Molecular Dynamics Simulation of the Two-level Systems (TLS) (Ref. 1,2)



LIGO-G1800300-v2 LVC meeting at Sonoma State University, CA, USA Mar. 20<sup>th</sup>, 2018



### The mechanism (II)





Based on Ref. 1 and 2, high barrier height TLS corresponds to transition for longer-range group of atoms, and transitions of TLS with high barrier height occur at higher temperature, statistically.

→ Therefore, we speculate that the transitions for groups of atoms larger than the film thickness are eliminated by the finite film thickness, the overall loss angle decreases and the peak shifts to lower temperature as the film thickness decreases.



10 LIGO-G1800300-v2 LVC meeting at Sonoma State University, CA, USA Mar. 20<sup>th</sup>, 2018



**Room temperature mechanical loss** 





Room temperature mechanical loss did not show the inhibition effect.

The TLS density function at room temperature is too small to have profound effect on the mechanical loss as shown on graph in previous slide. The room temperature mechanical loss observation consistent with our hypothesis.

11



Proposal for a new HR coatings





Blocking layer must be :

- (1) Optically compatible with  $SiO_2$ , i.e. optical thickness of the composite stack to be QW, the effective refractive index remains low and low optical extinction coefficient.
- (2) "Mechanically opaque" to transitions of the TLSs. Titania definitely is a good candidate.
- Same phenomenon might also occur in  $Ta_2O_5$  and  $Ti:Ta_2O_5$  H-layer. The cryogenic loss of the H-layer could also be inhibited using the blocking layers.



### Conclusion



- Through the amorphous multi-layer stacks of  $TiO_2/SiO_2$ , we demonstrated that the cryogenic mechanical loss can be inhibited beyond the prediction of the existing equation by reducing the film thickness.
- The cryogenic mechanical loss, mainly the loss of the  $SiO_2$  film, decreased and the loss peak shifted to lower temperature as the thickness decreased.
- We attributed the effect to the elimination of the long-range TLS transitions, i.e. transitions of groups of atoms larger than the film thickness, by the reduced film thickness.
- We propose a new HR coatings that has blocking layers in between the ultra thin  $SiO_2$  layers to replace the QW  $SiO_2$  in the conventional coatings.
- Same effect could occur in the H-layer as well.