### Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory Where do we stand?

**Daniel Sigg** 

LIGO Hanford Observatory California Institute of Technology

> GWADW 2017, May 8, 2017

For the LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration

LIGO-G1700726-v2

| Stated Goals for O2                                    | How did we do?   |
|--|--|
| Diagnose & reduce low frequency noise<br>(f < 150 Hz)  | Only small improvement on L1<br>Identified scattered light as needing work |
| Double the laser power<br>(100 kW -> 200 kW arm power) | Got close with H1, but with a noise penalty                                |
| Work on transient noises and spectral lines            | Some progress  |
| More robust operation<br>(low-noise duty cycle)        | Definite payoff from suspensions dampers<br>& seismic (tilt sensors)       |





### Small point absorber (~10mW)

### Resulting phase front distortion is problematic:

- > RF sideband build-up
- Wavefront sensing
- Noise couplings
- > Higher-order mode jitter!

### PI damping successful (10 modes at 50W)

Thermal compensation worked

### But: Noise worse at 50W



### Light Scattered



### End Stations: ETM wide angle scattering



Light scattered from the ETM is directed through a viewport and then scattered/reflected back in – hitting vibrating components along the way

### View into HAM5 chamber



A campaign to improve in-vacuum scattered light baffling in underway this will be one of the main post-O2 upgrades





 Injection locked laser generates large amounts of beam jitter
Including higher order modes!

### Long term: May require Jitter Attenuation Cavity

- Fixed mirror
- Triangular, in vacuum

### □ Suppression: ~50



### □ Fix LHO-ITMX Contamination

- ➢ Will try to clean ITMX in early now
- ➢ If successful, will try to go back to 50W
- If unsuccessful, will require ITMX replacement after O2

### Squeezed Light Injection at LLO

- Target is 3 dB of effective squeezing: equivalent to doubling the laser power
- Possibly LHO as well?

### Stray Light Control Improvements

- **70 W Amplifier Stage at LLO to Double the Laser Power** 
  - LHO: likely to move from the HPO to a 70 W amplifier as well

### □ Replace End Reaction Masses with Annular Versions

- Squeezed film damping; possibly electro-static charge
- ➢ May also replace ETMs at LHO
- Monolithic Signal Recycling Mirrors
- □ LHO: Long vent

### LLO



O3 readiness will be driven by achieving a significant sensitivity increase over O2

## **Observator** ivingston



### Observator Hanford



### Suspension **Test Mass**



![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Specifications:**

- Diameter: 340 mm
- Thickness: 200 mm
- ➤ Mass: 39.6 kg
- > ROC: 2250 m / 1940 m
- Figure: <1 nm rms</p>
- Scatter: ~10 ppm
- Surface absorption: ~0.3 ppm
- Bulk absorption: ~0.2 ppm/cm
- ➤ HR transmission: ~4 ppm
- AR reflectivity: ~200 ppm

![](_page_12_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Platform Seismic Isolation

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Input Optics Table

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Lase **Pre-Stabilized** 200W

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)