# Construction of Full Hybrid CBC Waveforms: effect of Higher Modes in Detection and Parameter Estimation



#### Juan Calderón Bustillo

in collaboration with A.Bohé, S.Husa and A.Sintes University of Balearic Islands



4th Iberian GW Meeting, Granada 27/02/2014

### Outlook

- Introduction: CBC Searches and Higher Modes.
- Hybrid Waveforms: mono-mode and multimode.
- Data analysis: Overlap and Fitting Factor.
- Detection Rates Visible Volumes.
- Ø Parameter Estimation.

### A CBC search:sketch







A source emits a GW

reaches a detector and changes the data stream



Signal-to-noise-Ratio (SNR)

which is matched filtered with a template

$$\rho = 2\Re \frac{\int_{f_m}^{f_M} \frac{\tilde{s}(f)\tilde{h}^*(f)}{S_n(f)}df}{\sqrt{\int_{f_m}^{f_M} \frac{\tilde{h}(f)\tilde{h}^*(f)}{S_n(f)}df}}$$



### CURRENT SEARCHES ONLY INCLUDE DOMINANT 22 and 2-2 MODES





# When are Higher Modes relevant?

- 22 and 2,-2 Spherical Harmonics are weak on the orbital plane, while higher harmonics are strong.
- Very Massive radiate at low frequencies which makes their 22 mode invisible .....higher modes will have higher frequencies and make them visible.

Ø Parameter Estimation.

### Hybrid Waveforms

Inspiral stage: anlytic Post-Newtonian approximats T1,T4,TaylorF2....

Merger + Ringdown: Full Numerical Relativity



#### Numerical Relativity



I. Choose a frequency such that both waves do reasonably agree -> NR data should not be noisy, and PN data should not be too late.





I. Choose a frequency  $\omega_m$  such that both waves are reasonably good.

So 2. Find the corresponding  $t_{NR}(\omega_m)$  and  $t_{PN}(\overline{\omega_m})$  times (this can involve lots of technical details)

- I. Choose frequency such that both waves are reasonably good.
- 2. Find corresponding NR and PN times
  3. Apply a time shift such that

 $\overline{t_{PN}(\omega_m)} = \overline{t_{NR}(\omega_m)}$ 





 $t_{pn} = 82327.3t/M$ 

 $t_{nr} = 387.296t/M$ 

I. Choose frequency such that both waves are reasonably good.

2. Find corresponding NR and PN times

3. Apply time shift such that matching time is equal in both waves.

4. Apply a phase shift such that phase is continuous at  $\omega_m$ .





$$t_{pn} = 82327.3t/M$$

$$t_{nr} = 387.296t/M$$

$$\Delta \phi_{22} = -2042.99$$

 I. Choose frequency such that both waves are reasonably good.

- 2. Find corresponding NR and PN times
- 3. Apply time shift such that matching time is equal in both waves.
- 4. Apply a phase shift such that phase is continuous at f0.
- 5. Smooth the amplitude difference over a time window.





 $t_{pn} = 82327.3t/M$ 

$$t_{nr} = 387.296t/M$$

$$\Delta \phi_{22} = -2042.99$$



#### HYBRID WAVEFORM SXS q=3, s=0



t/M

# Hybrid Higher Modes

- Once 22 hybrid is built, matching times are fixed.
- Phase shift of the lm mode is a little more involved: we have two degrees of freedom.

# Hybrid Higher Modes

Selection of coordinates: shift of  $m\phi$  for the lm mode.

Selection of the tetrad in which the GW is computed: shift of  $\psi_0$  for all modes



# Hybrid Higher Modes

Given 2 modes, typically 22 and 33: $\Delta\phi_{22}=\psi_0+2\phi$  $\Delta\phi_{22}=\psi_0+3\phi$ 

And

 $\Delta^t \phi_{lm} = \psi_0 + m\phi$ 



#### Phase Errors, q=18



#### Full Hybrid Waveform

Q=3 S=0 TaylorT1+BAM Hybrid Re[h(t)]





23

## Data Analysis

$$\begin{split} (h(\theta,\phi,\nu)|g(\theta^{'},\phi^{'},\nu^{'})) &= \frac{\max_{t_{0},\theta^{'},\phi^{'},\nu^{'}} \Re \int_{fm}^{fM} \frac{\tilde{h}(\theta,\phi,\nu)(f)\tilde{g}^{*}(\theta^{'},\phi^{'},\nu^{'})(f)}{S_{n}(f)} df}{\sqrt{\langle h|h \rangle \langle g|g \rangle}} \\ \rho(h)(\theta,\phi,\nu) &= \frac{\max_{t_{0}} \Re \int_{fm}^{fM} \frac{\tilde{h}(\theta,\phi,\nu)(f)\tilde{h}^{*}(\theta,\phi,\nu)(f)}{S_{n}(f)} df}{\sqrt{\langle h|h \rangle}} \\ &< h|h \rangle = \int_{fm}^{fM} \frac{\tilde{h}(f)\tilde{h}^{*}(f)}{S_{n}(f)} df \end{split}$$

Sn(f)=2015 Advanced LIGO fm=30Hz fM=2000Hz

#### Effect of Phase Errors: q=6







#### M=100Mo Min=0.92



#### M=300Mo Min=0.66



### Potential Volume Gain II

<u>Horizon Distance</u>: Maximum Distance at which a source produces a threshold SNR (8)

$$\frac{d_h^{22}}{d_h^F} = \frac{d_{\rho=8}^{22}}{d_{\rho=8}^F} = \frac{\rho_{d_0}^{22}}{\rho_{d_0}^F} = \frac{\rho_{d_0}^F(h^{22}|h^F)}{\rho_{d_0}^F} = (h_{22}|h^F) \equiv O$$

$$V_{h} = \sum_{i} \sin \theta_{i} \rho^{3}(\theta_{i}, \phi_{i})$$
$$V_{d} = \sum_{i} \sin \theta_{i} \rho^{3} \times O^{3}(\theta_{i}, \phi_{i})$$

$$\Delta V = 100 (Vh/Vd - 1)\%$$



Blue: (D vs D) Green:(Full vs D) Red:(Full vs Full) q=3 M=300Mo

### Blue: (D vs D) Green:(F vs D) Red:(F vs F) q=3 M=300Mo







At a zero parameter bias cost

### Fitting Factors

 $H = \{h_i(q, M, \theta, \phi, \nu) / h \in PhenomC\}$ 

$$g = g(\theta_g, \phi_g, \nu_g)$$

 $FF(\theta_g, \phi_g, \nu_g) = \max_H (g(\theta_g, \phi_g, \nu_g) | h_i)$ 

# Fitting Factors



# Fitting Factors



### Potential Volume Gain II

$$V_h = \sum_i \sin \theta_i \rho^3(\theta_i, \phi_i)$$
  
 $V_d = \sum_i \sin \theta_i \rho^3 \times FF^3(\theta_i, \phi_i)$ 

 $\Delta V = 100(Vh/Vd - 1)\%$ 

## Potential Volume Gain II



However, the minimum SNR would raise from 8 to 8.3 -> Reduction of 10%

### Parameter Bias q6



### Parameter Bias: q3



## Preliminar Real Analysis

Injection of Full Waveforms in Real Recolored Noise Simulating 2015-adv. LIGO.

SpEC+TaylorT1 q=3 s=0 Hybrid Waveforms.

EOBNR Template Bank with 1Mo<M<100Mo [Buonanno et al.2007Phys.Rev.D76104049].

### Detection Results

1.Injection of a full waveform in real data

2. Filter the resulting data with a only 22 mode Template Bank

A. Signal is Detected with zero FAR: blue star

B. Signal is detected with non zero FAR: colored dot
C. SNR is lower than 8, signal not detected: red cross



### Total Mass Recovery







### Mass Ratio Recovery







### Summary

- A method for building hybrid waveforms including higher modes has been developed.
- Higher modes importance increases with mass, mass ratio and polar angle.
- Significant increment of events-rate may be achieved in the early advanced detector era due to inclusion of higher modes.
- Non-inclusion of higher modes can generate a huge bias in parameter estimation.

Thanks for your attention