



PALOMAR TRANSIENT FACTORY



DISCOVERY & REDSHIFT OF AN OPTICAL AFTERGLOW IN 71 SQUARE DEGREES

accepted ApJL, http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.5851

LEO SINGER / SANTORINI, GREECE / 20 SEPT 2013

lsinger@caltech.edu



images: lair Arcavi, NASA/GSFC, LIGO Laboratory

I. Palomar Transient Factory, Fermi GBM, and LIGO:

what do they have in common? what can we learn from afterglows of *Fermi* bursts?

2. Afterglows of Fermi GRBs:

how do we hunt for them?

3. GRB 130702A and iPTF13bxl:

a nearby wimpy monster? z=0.145, spectroscopic SN Ic-BL, 33 GCN circs, 2 ApJL papers, proof of principle for Advanced LIGO!

I. Palomar Transient Factory, Fermi GBM, and LIGO:

what do they have in common? what can we learn from afterglows of *Fermi* bursts?

image credit: Palomar Observatory, Caltech; legend: E. Bellm



Palomar Transient Factory: the assembly line

- **P48** Survey telescope (\approx 7 deg² FOV, R \approx 20.6 mag in 60 s)
- **P60** Robotic, photometric follow-up
- **P200** Spectroscopy, classification \rightarrow SED machine







Visit same tiles again and again, subtract deep co-adds from new images





Machine learning: real or bogus? Brink et al. 2012, arXiv:1209.3775

Human + machine follow-up target selection Fermi GRBs are more energetic than Swift GRBs. Tip of the Swift distribution, or qualitatively different?



Swift BAT, 2004–2009, 476 GRBs



Fermi detects more, shorter, and harder GRBs than Swift.



z

20

0

0.01

0.1

Paciesas et al. (2012, ApJS 199:18)

[s]

10.0

1.0

100.0

1000.0

Fermi GBM

- Prolific detection rate (twice that of Swift)
- With LAT, access to MeV—GeV regime
- All-sky (~70% of sky)
- Strengths for detecting short-hard bursts
- But very coarse localization, >1°

Possible electromagnetic

counterparts

- 2 neutron stars merge, form compact object and accretion disk
- Accretion feeds pair of jets
- Shocks in jet produce prompt γray burst
- Shock between jet and ISM produces optical afterglow
- Radioactive decay of heavy elements synthesized in neutronrich ejecta power faint 'kilonova'



Figure I of Meztger & Berger 2012, ApJ, 746, 48

Fermi GRBs as a dress rehearsal for Advanced LIGO transient searches.







Image credits: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Ligo.svg, Rep. Prog. Phys. 72 (2009) 076901

Typical GW localizations:



image from Singer et al. (2013, in prep.)

Huge areas: ~10²⁻³ deg² (HL, 2015), ~10¹⁻² deg² (HLV, 2016) Multiple islands of probability No such thing as an "error circle": banana-shaped arcs common

GRB 120716A: PTF discovery of a likely optical afterglow of an IPN GRB in 2 square degrees



Cenko (2012, GCN Circ. 13489)

I. Palomar Transient Factory, Fermi GBM, and LIGO:

what do they have in common? what can we learn from afterglows of *Fermi* bursts?

2. Afterglows of Fermi GRBs:

how do we hunt for them?

Parse GCN notice, translate GBM error circle to probability map.





Send P48 ToO.



Limit query (boolean):
Young Only 0 & Local Universe Only 0 & Co-add Only 0 & New Only 0 & Hide Rocks 1 & Field 3486
Change query parameters:
Observation date > 20130701 & Realbogus > 0.2 & Match radius (deg) < 0.000277 & Match time (days) > 0.020833 & Number of Candidates < 200 & Fraction of best candidates < 0.03 &
Reload Page

SELECT acnd.id, acnd.rb2, acnd.mag, acnd.ra, acnd.dec, acnd.x_sub, acnd.y_sub, acnd.lu_match_id, bcnd.id as bid, acnd.sub_id as subid FROM candidate as acnd, candidate as bcnd, subtraction a $q3c_join(acnd.ra, acnd.dec, bcnd.ra, bcnd.dec, 0.000278)$ AND $acnd.sub_id=asub.id$ and $bcnd.sub_id=bsub.id$ AND acnd.rb2 > 0.2 and bcnd.rb2 > 0.2 AND asub.id >= 232052 and bsub.id = 2320



20130701 - Found 2 candidates with RB2 >= 0.2: Only showing unique candidates

27,004 transient/variable candidates found by real-time iPTF analysis

26,960 not known minor planets

2740 sources without SDSS detections brighter than r'=21

43 sources detected in both P48 visits, presented to human scanners

7 sources saved by humans

3 afterglow-like candidates scheduled for follow-up

130X SNI 14:20:59.41 +15:09:42.1 215.247521 +15.161706

View another

OVERVIEW

PHOTOMETRY

SPECTROSCOPY FOLLOWUP

OBSERVABILITY

FINDING CHART 🍐

EXAMINE PAGE





r = 19.4 (42.2 d) | Upload New Photometry

4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000

z = 0.06 | Upload New Spectroscopy DM (approximate) = 37.11

COMMENTS

2013 Jul 16 sagi [redshift]: 0.06 2013 Jul 16 sagi [classification]: SN II 2013 Jul 16 sagi [phase]: +7 days 2013 Jul 16 sagi [comment]: SSF best match is to SN 1987K at +7 days [view attachment] 2013 Jul 15 iair [info]: Observed at P200+DBSP 2013 Jul 02 duncan [info]: Observation triggered by Fermi/GBM trigger Fermi394416326 2013 Jul 02 ofer [info]: Faint host. No limits. 2013 Jul 02 ofer [type]: Transient

Add a Comment:

I
1
11
C C

Attach File:	Choose File	no file selected
info ‡	Save Commer	nt

ADDITIONAL INFO

NED	SIMBAD	VizieR	HEASARC	SkyView	PyMP	Extino	tion
IPAC	DSS WISE	Subar	u VLT	Variable Marshal (Search)			ADS

Add to Cart 🗄

FOLLOW UP

PROGRAMS

13bxk AGN 14:27:42.19 +16:02:55.5 216.925803 +16.048758



Add to Cart 🤠

View another

FOLLOW UP

PROGRAMS

13bxl

217.311582 +15.774013

OVERVIEW

PHOTOMETRY SPECTROSCOPY

FOLLOWUP

OBSERVABILITY

FINDING CHART 📐

EXAMINE PAGE

View another





r = 17.6 (42.2 d) | Upload New Photometry



4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000

z = 0.145 | Upload New Spectroscopy DM (approximate) = 39.19

ADDITIONAL INFO

NED	SIMBAD	VizieR	HEASARC	SkyView	PyMP	Extinction
IPAC	DSS WISE	Subari	J VLT	Variable Mar	ADS	

Add to Cart 🛓

COMMENTS

2013 Aug 04 sumin [info]: observed with LRIS 2013 Jul 15 iair [info]: Observed at P200+DBSP (PA 166.1) 2013 Jul 14 jesper [info]: Latest Keck spectrum (July 11) looks like 2006aj close to Max. The fit with 98bw is less good. 2013 Jul 11 sumin [info]: observed with lick 3-m kast, g-band and R-band images

2013 Jul 11 sumin [info]: observed with Lick Kast g-band image, 130711

2013 Jul 09 brad [info]: Broad features identified in NOT spectrum (GCN 14994) are clearly visible. But it doesn't look like an exact match to 98bw to me (see attached). [view attachment]

2013 Jul 08 robert [info]: Light curve is still fading as a powerlaw (see attached plot). Could have been a break in the LC before 10⁵ seconds. [view attachment]

2013 Jul 06 jesper [info]: interesting features, and about right timing. Although some structure also in earlier spectra. SNID attached. /jesper [view attachment]

2013 Jul 06 avishay [info]: SN signatures seem to be already emerging, as light curve decline slows down. Comparison with SN 1998bw and SN 2006aj attached. [view attachment] 2013 Jul 05 ofer [comment]: Quick reduction (to be compared with final one)

2013 Jul 04 mansi [redshift]: 0.145

2013 Jul 04 iair [info]: Observed with P200+DBSP 2013 Jul 03 iair [redshift]: 0.145

2013 Jul 03 iair [comment]: possible redshift based on narrow H, O I, O III

2013 Jul 03 eric [info]: Observed with P200-DBSP 130703 2013 Jul 03 duncan [info]: There is a Fermi/LAT detection (GRB130702A). The best LAT on-ground location is found to be: RA, DEC = 216.4, 15.8 (J2000), with an error radius of 0.5 deg (90% containment, statistical error only) This position is 4 deg from the best GBM position (RA, Dec = 218.81, +12.25 with a 4 deg radius), and 0.8 deg from the position of the optical afterglow.

2013 Jul 02 eric [info]: Observed with P200-DBSP 130702 2013 Jul 02 duncan [info]: Final Fermi GBM position: +14h 35m 14s, +12d 15' 00" (218.810d, +12.250d) (J2000) Error 3.99 [deg radius, statistical only]

NED	SIMBAD	VizieR	HEASARC	SkyView	PyMP	Extinction	
IPAC	DSS WISE	Subar	u VLT	Variable Marshal (Search)			ADS

FOLLOW UP

PROGRAMS

I. Palomar Transient Factory, Fermi GBM, and LIGO:

what do they have in common? what can we learn from afterglows of *Fermi* bursts?

2. Afterglows of Fermi GRBs:

how do we hunt for them?

3. GRB 130702A and iPTF13bxl:

a nearby wimpy monster? z=0.145, spectroscopic SN Ic-BL, 33 GCN circs, 2 ApJL papers, proof of principle for Advanced LIGO!

(Almost exactly) one year after IPN GRB: Discovery & redshift of a GBM GRB in 71 deg²



accepted ApJL, http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.5851







Redshift of host: z=0.145





Metallicity of host environment:

see Kelly et al. (2013, http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.5103v1, submitted to ApJL)

GRB 130702A's supernova: comparison with SN 2006aj (lc)



comparison in Transient Marshal by A. Gal-Yam

I 30702A bridging the gap: much wimpier than cosmological GRBs, not nearly as wimpy as GRBs with spectroscopic SNe



Conclusions

- Fermi GBM bursts: well worth the hunt
- Luck is very important, but so is good software and plentiful follow-up resources
- Try same for IPN?
- GRB 130702A / iPTF13bxl: connection between cosmological GRBs and sub-luminous GRBs with well-studied SNe Ibc
- Next time: try for same-night photometric & spectroscopic follow-up→enter SED Machine
- Advanced LIGO: transfer infrastructure and lessons learned to future surveys (ZTF, BlackGEM, Pan-STARRS, LSST)

THANK YOU

Alan Weinstein & Shri Kulkarni

Brad Cenko & Mansi Kasliwal

Dan Perley, Eran Ofek, Duncan Brown, Peter Nugent, Alessandra Corsi, Dale Frail, Eric Bellm, John Mulchaey, Iair Arcavi, Tom Barlow, Josh Bloom, Yi Cao, Neil Gehrels, Assaf Horesh, Frank Masci, Julie McEnery, Arne Rau, Jason Surace, Ofer Yaron

The Fermi team





HEALPix

NSF Graduate Research Fellowship

