## OMC optical design

The previous version of the slides were presented in the ISC meeting on Oct. 18, 2012
The parameters and plots in this slides have been updated to accommodate the update after the presentation and keep the consistency with the design document T1000276-v5

## Mission of the OMC:

- Transmit TEMOO mode
- Filter higher order modes
- Filter RF sidebands


## Previous design progress (by Sam W)

- two choices (bowtie \& no BS)
- RoC of the curved mirror ~2.5m
- Roundtrip length of the cavity $1.1 \sim 1.3 m$
$==>$ The mirrors have been ordered and delivered
Precise design based on the delivered optics
- Using actual Rs\&Ts, RoC


## OMC optical design

## Bowtie or No-BS?: TEM00 Transmission


$526.1992 \mu \mathrm{~m} \omega 0 \mathrm{y}=526.8864 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


|  | AOI | Request | Data sheet |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A) IO coupler | 4deg(P) | T~8300ppm | T=7931ppm | L(roundtrip): |
| B) Beam splitter | 45deg(P) | T~50\% | T=50.385\% | $1.132[\mathrm{~m}]$ for bowtie |
| C) High Reflector | 4deg(P) | T~50ppm | T=51.48 or 46.40 ppm | $1.175[\mathrm{~m}]$ for no-bs |
| D) Output mirror | 4deg(P) | T~4150ppm | T=4089ppm | RoC=2.575[m] |
| E) Leaky HR | 45deg(P) | T~7500ppm | T=7400ppm | AR loss ignored |
|  |  |  |  | Loss(roundtrip)=40ppm |
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## Bowtie or No-BS?: Filtering Performance

How to guess the amount of HOMs? ==> eLIGO OMC scan data
Note:
aLIGO may have better beam quality at the dark port owing to the better optics. But it is unknown for now.
=> The same analysis should be redone once the results of the aLIGO simulation or actual measurement are taken place.
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## Power-law modeling of the mode scan data



## HOM Model

OMC output: Calibrated with the sideband power Carrier:
How much carrier higher-order modes leak out from the IFO when the carrier of 1 W is hitting on the BS 0 for TEMOO
7e-5 [W/W] for the 1st order
$1.8 \mathrm{e}-3 \times 10^{\wedge}(-n / 4.8)$ for the order $n>2$
(total of modes in an order)
No correction for SR (No mode healing)
PRG of aLIGO: ~45

## Sidebands:

Thru-put from incident to the dark port
For 45 MHz sidebands
1 for TEMOO
0.17 for the 1st order
$7.0 \mathrm{e}-1 \times 10^{\wedge}(-n / 6)$ for the order $n>2$
For 9 MHz sidebands
$1 / 1000$ of 45 MHz sidebands
(T070247-01 P.9, Fig.4)
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## Bowtie or No-BS?: Filtering Performance <br> $$
\mathrm{RoC}=2.575 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Excess transmitted power to the DCPD
in relative to the incident laser power to the IFO


These two cases have very similar mode structure except that No-BS tends to have a slightly longer cavity length for a same spot
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## Bowtie or No-BS?: HOM structure

The mode structure is very similar for both cases.


Bowtie (L=1.147)


NoBS (L=1.280)
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## Bowtie or No-BS:

- No-BS has slightly higher TEM00 transmission ( $98.2 \%$ vs $98.7 \%$, for loss of 10 ppm per bounce)
- They have equivalent filtering performances once the cavity parameters are optimized
- "No-BS" tends to have slightly ( $\sim 4 \%$ ) longer optimum length
- Intuition:
"The beams for the PDs should be common as far as possible"
For the first OMC for LLO, $\underline{\underline{I}}$ decided to adopt "Bowtie" design
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## OMC optical design

## Curvature radius tolerance of the curved mirrors

 $\mathrm{L}=2.575+/-0.015$ [m]RoC=2.575 m


## OMC optical design

## Cavity length tolerance: L=1.132+/-0.005 [m]
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## Mirror curvature meaurement

- The optimum length of the OMC: really depends on the mirror RoC.
- The vender did not provide an absolute RoC spec
(only the phase map results relative to a reference sphere)
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## Gallery




1/2" curved mirror

lat mirror "tombstone"
bands seen on the edge of the coating!?
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## Measurement example

C1: RoC measurement (2012/11/16)


|  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| == Yaw Misalign $26 \mathrm{MHz}==$ |
| Peak1: $26.2249+/-0.00035971 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| FWHM: $63.8807+/-0.36584 \mathrm{kHz}$ |
| == Yaw Misalign $57 \mathrm{MHz}==$ |
| Peak1: $57.1457+/-0.00054872 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| FWHM: $69.0865+/-0.55881 \mathrm{kHz}$ |
| == Yaw Misalign $109.5 \mathrm{MHz}==$ |
| Peak1: $109.602+/-0.00041511 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| FWHM: $66.3678+/-0.42243 \mathrm{kHz}$ |
| == Yaw Misalign Summary $==$ |
| FSR: $83.3771+/-0.00054928 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| Cavity length: $1.7978+/-1.1844 \mathrm{e}-05 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Lock offset: $3.2642+/-0.49773 \mathrm{kHz}$ |
| RoC: $2.578450+/-0.000042[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## OMC optical design

RoC measurement of the 9 OMC curved mirrors \#1: RoC: $2.57845+/-4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
\#2: RoC: $2.54363+/-5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
\#3: RoC: $2.57130+/-6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
\#4: RoC: 2.58176 +/- $7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
\#5: RoC: $2.57369+/-9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
\#6: RoC: $2.57321+/-4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
\#7: RoC: $2.56244+/-4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
\#8: RoC: $2.56291+/-5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
\#9: RoC: $2.57051+/-7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$
==> 2.575 +/- 0.005 [m] (\#2, \#7, \#8 excluded)

