aLIGO SUS Acceptance Test Criteria E1200844-v1

For a given type of suspension

- Design Document
 - Requirements document
 - Conceptual design documents
 - Final design documents
 - Control system design documents
- Full Mechanical Assembly Package
 - Drawings
 - As-built Solidworks assembly
 - As-built mechanical assembly procedure
 - Installation procedure
- Full electronics drawing package
 - As-built circuit schematics
 - Full signal chain electronics layout (wiring diagram)
 - Full signal chain description (block diagram)
 - Compensation vs. state description
 - Design description
 - Model of circuit which can predict
 - Transfer functions
 - Noise performance in terms of
 - Input and Output referred voltage noise
 - Current noise across coil
 - Force noise on optic
 - Displacement of optic

- Dynamical model, with fully cross-coupled DOFs (as necessary), and representative damping loops

- Matlab / Mathematica models stored in SVN / DCC
- Dynamical model results package which contains
 - Commonly-asked-for Transfer functions (Matlab, Mathematica)
 - Mode shapes (Mathematica)
 - Predicted residual seismic motion (Matlab, Mathematica)
 - Predicted residual sensor noise (Matlab)
 - Predicted residual actuator noise (Matlab)
 - Predicted maximum range of motion (Matlab / Spreadsheet)

- Testing software package (as automated as possible)

- Transfer functions
- ASDs
- Range
- User's manual

For a single suspension

All analog & digital systems complete as designed

- Full (control) signal chain for all stages in place and confirmed functional as designed

- Sensor calibration understood/independently confirmed (at least for representative sensors on chain, independently calibrated by... VCO?)

- Calibrated sensor channels stored in frames

- range/signs understood (DC range test, compare against range document, signs check out with Sign Table)

- ASD spectra understood (Spectra compared against sensor noise and

seismic into SUS point) - BIO completely functional (TEST/COIL out switch confirmed via ability to drive, frequency response switches confirmed with monitor chassis transfer functions) - All possible states of Frequency response of sensor chain and drive chain are confirmed - Electronics compensation filters have confirmed compensation to ~5% from DC to several kHz - Drive noise performance understood (matches expected noise level), matching expectations compared against requirements in Current, Force, and Displacement (ASDs of NoiseMon's turned "propagated" through models) - Undesired cross-coupling characterized / compensated / minimized - Technical - Sensors - Actuators - Fundamental / Mechanical - Longitudinal to Pitch - Others (?) - Control hierarchy defined as designed - Monitor Chassis - Monitor signals with MEDM (yes/no) - Noise performance confirmed functional (ASD of all OSEMs on all stage's NoiseMon channels) - Calibration understood - Calibrated channels in frames (yes/no) Mechanical TFs (open loop "plant" for locking / damping loops) check out - As-installed (Phase 3b) TFs, compared against model and other SUS of same type / assembly level - Comparison of "Passed" TF all phases of testing show same results Structural resonances are at acceptably high frequency - As-installed, driven (B&K Hammer & ISI) transfer function confirmation Damping loops perform as best as possible, as expected from full production model - Closed loop gain - Open Loop Gain - ASDs and RMS motion match predictions given input in - L (with cavities if possible) - P and Y (with optical levers, if possible) - (others if possible) As-installed Mechanics / Sensors / Actuators / Electronics inventoried - Fundamental properties measured - Mechanics - Optic S/N, associated mechanical properties (mass, radius, thickness) - Level of assembly vs. retrofits (flat flags, pitch adjusters, ECD magnets, etc) - Overall mass of each stage, as-installed - Trim mass distribution - Lowest stage characterization (violin mode frequencies; for fibers & ears: metrology) - Actuator / Driver - Serial numbers

 $\,$ - Coil Resistance / Inductance (of full chain, preferably, but at least once along the phases of testing)

 $\,$ - Driver noise level (as measured on the bench during phase 1a, and from noisemon circuits in situ)

- Frequency Response of Full Chain in all states

- Magnet characteristics

- Sensor

- Serial numbers

- Open light voltage / Calibration

- Sensor noise level

- Frequency Response of Full Chain

- Other associated Electronics (AA, AI, I/O Chassis, BIO Chassis, etc.)
 - Serial numbers
 - Associated during-assembly test results