#### A Homodyne Optical Readout for Laser Interferometric Gravitational Wave Detectors

**Tobin Fricke** PhD defense October 14, 2011

LIGO-G1101153

Gravitational waves
LIGO & GW detectors
RF readout
DC readout
The output mode cleaner
Results



## Gravitational waves

- predicted by general relativity
- generated by accelerating mass
- propagate at the speed of light
- not yet detected directly
- appear as a strain of spacetime



$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \qquad h_{\mu\nu}(x^{\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{+} & h_{\times} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{\times} & -h_{+} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cos(k_{\lambda}x^{\lambda})$$

#### Gravitational wave sources

	modeled	unmodeled
long-term	"pulsars"	stochastic background
transient	binary inspirals	supernova & other bursts

#### Gravitational wave detectors



### Network of detectors



### An interferometer of interferometers



## Sensitivity (noise floor)



# Enhanced LIGO



- Try out Advanced LIGO technologies
- Bet that increased sensitivity outweighs the downtime

```
exposure = time * (range)^3
```

Increase the laser power Output mode cleaner DC readout New Laser New input optics New Thermal Compensation New Alignment Control 10

## Michelson



## Fabry-Perot Michelson



## Power-Recycled Fabry-Perot Michelson



#### Interferometer

A suitably polarized GW produces differential phase modulation in the two arms, which interferes constructively at the beam splitter and exits at the output port. How to detect it?



#### Shot noise



#### HETERODYNE (RF)



HOMODYNE (DC)



## Heterodyne shot noise



The in-phase demoulation selectively samples the noisiest parts of the time series!

## DC Readout vs balanced homodyne





# The Coupled Cavity



#### DC Readout



## DC Readout promises

- fundamental improvement in SNR
- technical improvement in SNR
- perfect overlap of local oscillator and signal beams
- junk light removal by OMC
- improved laser and oscillator noise couplings
  - exploit the amazing filtering ability of the interferometer
- Easier platform for squeezed light injection
- Easier to handle higher power

# Junk Light



![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

Hermite-Gauss modes

 $\star$  wikipedia

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

Laguerre-Gauss modes

 $\star$  wikipedia

## DC Readout with OMC

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

monolithic, suspended, in-vacuum

Sam Waldman et al 25

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

## OMC Length Control

Cavity length dithered at  $\sim 10 \text{ kHz}$  via PZT actuator

PZT offloaded onto slow, long-range thermal actuator

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

## OMC Alignment Control

The mode cleaner will clean the modes if you can identify what mode you want to keep.

Initial idea: maximize transmission through the OMC

## Junk light confuses simple servo

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

## The eLIGO interferometer

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Commissioning

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Noise Couplings

- Oscillator amplitude
- Oscillator phase
- Laser intensity
- Laser frequency

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

Ref: J. Camp, et al., J. Opt. Soc. Am. A/ Vol. 17, No. 1/January 2000

## Oscillator Amplitude noise

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Oscillator Phase noise

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Anatomy of intensity noise coupling

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Anatomy of intensity noise coupling II

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Laser intensity noise

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Laser frequency noise

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Shot noise

$$x_{\text{shot}}(f) = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda hc}{2\epsilon P_{IN}}} \frac{1}{g_{cr}\mathcal{F}} \left| 1 + i\frac{4\mathcal{F}L}{c}f \right|$$

parameter	symbol	H1	L1
input power	$P_{IN}$	$20.27~\mathrm{W}$	$11.65 {\rm W}$
arm cavity pole	$f_c$	$83.7 \ \mathrm{Hz}$	$85.6~\mathrm{Hz}$
finesse	$\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{arm}}$	224	219
power recycling gain	$g_{cr}^2$	59	41
carrier fraction after phase modulation	$J_0(\Gamma)^2$	0.94	0.95
input optics		0.82	0.75
interferometer mode-matching		0.92	0.92
output faraday isolator transmission		0.94	0.98
DC readout pickoff fraction		0.953	0.972
OMC mode-matching		0.70	0.95
OMC transmission and PD quantum efficiency		0.95	0.95
net power efficiency	$\epsilon$	0.42	0.56

### Shot noise II

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Summary

- Installed OMC and set up DC readout
- Commissioned control systems for OMC and DC readout
- Measured and modeled noise couplings
- Modeled and verified shot-noise performance
- paper: http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.2815

![](_page_41_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Thanks for listening!

**Special thanks to** Gaby González, Valera Frolov, Rana Adhikari, Adrian Melissinos, and everyone who worked on Enhanced LIGO.

TO SAUC

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

R

BRAND

## DC Readout: phasor view

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

How do we choose the DARM offset?

- Must be much greater than residual DARM displacement
- Must overcome contrast defect and electronics noise
- But not excessively detrimental to power recycling

In practice: turn the knob to get the best sensitivity