LIGO-G030057

17-20 March, 2003

#### Correlation Function in the Coincidence Analysis for Laser Gravitational-Wave Detectors

M. Rakhmanov and S. Klimenko

University of Florida, Gainesville

LIGO Scientific Collaboration Meeting LIGO Livingston Observatory, Livingston, LA

### Overview

Objectives:

- determine the location of g.w. source on the sky
- find the angle of g.w. polarization axes.
- restore the waveforms

Related material:

- S. Klimenko and I. Yakushin, *Burst data analysis in wavelet domain for two interferometers,* LSC meeting
- Y. Gürsel and M. Tinto, Near optimal solution to the inverse problem for gravitational-wave bursts, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 3884
- B.F. Schutz, *Data processing, analysis, and storage for interferometric antennas,* in Detection of gravita-tional waves. ed. D. Blair
- W.E. Althouse et al., *Precision alignment of the LIGO* 4 km arms using the dual-frequency differential global positioning system, Rev. Sci. Instr. 72 (2001) 3086

#### Gravitational-Wave Signal

Gravitational-wave signal:

$$V(t) = F_{+}(\Omega) h_{+}(t, \vec{r}) + F_{\times}(\Omega) h_{\times}(t, \vec{r}),$$
  

$$\Omega = (\phi, \theta, \psi), F_{i}(\Omega) \text{ antenna patterns.}$$

 $F_{+}(\Omega) = 2^{-1} \cos 2\phi \left(1 + \cos^{2}\theta\right) \cos 2\psi - \sin 2\phi \cos \theta \sin 2\psi.$ 



 $F_{\times}(\Omega) = 2^{-1} \cos 2\phi \left(1 + \cos^2 \theta\right) \sin 2\psi - \sin 2\phi \, \cos \theta \, \cos 2\psi.$ 



# **Coincidence Analysis**

1 detector:

- one response: V(t)
- cannot tell directional information:  $\theta,~\phi$  and  $\psi$
- cannot separate  $h_+(t)$  and  $h_{\times}(t)$

2 detectors:

- two responses:  $V_1(t)$  and  $V_2(t)$  but one corr.function
- can tell the delay  $au_s$  and therefore heta
- cannot tell  $\phi$  and  $\psi$

3 detectors:

- three responses:  $V_1(t)$ ,  $V_2(t)$ , and  $V_3(t)$
- three correlation functions
- full separation of  $h_+(t)$  and  $h_{\times}(t)$
- redundancy allows to test general relativity

# Model Correlation ( $\tau_s = 0$ )



# Model Correlation ( $\tau_s > 0$ )



#### Mid-point Parametrization



Vector  $\vec{p}$  connects the two detectors:

$$\vec{p} = \vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2,$$

Midpoint for two detectors (M):

$$\vec{r}_1 = \vec{r}_0 + \vec{p}/2,$$
  
 $\vec{r}_2 = \vec{r}_0 - \vec{p}/2.$ 

The delay is equally split between the two detectors:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h(t,\vec{r_1}) &=& h(t+\tau_s/2),\\ h(t,\vec{r_2}) &=& h(t-\tau_s/2), \end{array}$$

where  $\tau_s$  is the gravitational-wave arrival delay

$$\tau_s = \frac{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{p}}{c}.$$

G.W. signals from two detectors:

$$V_1(t) = F_+(\Omega_1) h_+(t + \tau_s/2) + F_{\times}(\Omega_1) h_{\times}(t + \tau_s/2),$$
  

$$V_2(t) = F_+(\Omega_2) h_+(t - \tau_s/2) + F_{\times}(\Omega_2) h_{\times}(t - \tau_s/2).$$

### Correlation of Signals

Introduce artificial delay:  $\tau$  (coincidence:  $\tau = -\tau_s$ ) Correlation function for detectors #1 and #2:

$$C(\tau) = \overline{V_1(t-\tau/2)V_2(t+\tau/2)}.$$

Expanded form:

$$F_{+}(\Omega_{1}) F_{+}(\Omega_{2}) \frac{\overline{h_{+}\left(t + \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)h_{+}\left(t - \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)}}{h_{+}\left(t + \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)h_{\times}\left(t - \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)} + F_{\times}(\Omega_{1}) F_{+}(\Omega_{2}) \frac{h_{\times}\left(t + \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)h_{+}\left(t - \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)}{h_{\times}\left(t + \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)h_{+}\left(t - \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)} + F_{\times}(\Omega_{1}) F_{\times}(\Omega_{2}) \frac{h_{\times}\left(t + \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)h_{\times}\left(t - \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)}{h_{\times}\left(t - \frac{\tau_{s} + \tau}{2}\right)}.$$

Compact form:

$$C(\tau) = \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{N}(\tau)\right\},\$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij} = F_i(\Omega_1) F_j(\Omega_2),$$
  
$$\mathbf{N}_{ij} = \overline{h_i\left(t + \frac{\tau_s + \tau}{2}\right) h_j\left(t - \frac{\tau_s + \tau}{2}\right)}.$$

# **Rotational Transformations**

Orientation of the midpoint and the detector frames on earth.



Orientation of the GW coordinate system with respect to the midpoint coordinate system.



For each detector

$$\mathbf{q}_s = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{q}.$$

where (symbolic form)

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}$$

induced transformation of the metric:

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{H}_s \mathbf{R}.$$

the strain tensor in g.w. frame

$$\mathbf{H}_s = h_+ \mathbf{E}_+ + h_\times \mathbf{E}_{\times}.$$

basis tensors are

$$\mathbf{E}_{+} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \qquad \mathbf{E}_{\times} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

For Hanford,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_1^+ &= \mathbf{R}_1^T \, \mathbf{E}_+ \, \mathbf{R}_1, \\ \mathbf{H}_1^\times &= \mathbf{R}_1^T \, \mathbf{E}_\times \, \mathbf{R}_1. \end{aligned}$$

For Livinngston  $1 \rightarrow 2$ .

The sensitivity function is

$$F_{+}(\Omega_{1}) = \mathbf{H}_{1}^{+}(1,1) - \mathbf{H}_{1}^{+}(2,2),$$

and so on.

# **Correlation of Antenna Patterns**



Average over  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  but not  $\theta$ .

Correlation of directional sensitivities

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij}(\tau_s) = \langle F_i(\Omega_1) F_j(\Omega_2) \rangle_{\phi,\psi}.$$

The result is

$$\mathbf{M}(\tau_s) = \begin{bmatrix} a(\tau_s) & b(\tau_s) \\ -b(\tau_s) & a(\tau_s) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a(\tau_s)$  and  $b(\tau_s)$  are polynomials (order  $\leq$  4). For any detector locations and orientation angles:

$$a(\tau_s) = a_0 + a_2 \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0}\right)^2 + a_4 \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0}\right)^4,$$
  
$$b(\tau_s) = b_1 \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0}\right) + b_3 \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0}\right)^3.$$

 $au_0$  is maximum delay  $au_0 = rac{|ec{p}|}{c}$ 

### H1-L1 Directional Overlap

Hanford – Livingston correlation:  $\alpha$  - angle between Z axes of the detectors,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  - angles of X axes (arms) with  $\vec{p}$ :

$$\alpha = 13.61^{0},$$
  
 $\beta_{1} = 28.42^{0},$   
 $\beta_{2} = -61.52^{0}.$ 

Numerical calculations yield

$$a(\tau_s) = -0.9577 + 0.5325 \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0}\right)^2 + 0.3369 \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0}\right)^4,$$
  

$$b(\tau_s) = -0.2931 \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0}\right) - 0.5204 \left(\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0}\right)^3.$$

a and b as functions of  $\tau_s$ .

 $-1 \quad -0.8 \quad -0.6 \quad -0.4 \quad -0.2 \quad 0 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.4 \quad 0.6 \quad 0.8 \quad 1 \\ reduced delay, \tau/\tau_0$ 

### **Correlation Function**

Correlation wave-forms:

$$N_{ij}(\tau) = \overline{h_i\left(t + \frac{\tau_s + \tau}{2}\right) h_j\left(t - \frac{\tau_s + \tau}{2}\right)}.$$

Correlation function in time domain:

$$C(\tau) = a(\tau_s) \left[ N_{++}(\tau) + N_{\times \times}(\tau) \right] + b(\tau_s) \left[ N_{+\times}(\tau) - N_{\times +}(\tau) \right].$$

Fourier-domain representation:

$$\tilde{h}_j(\omega) = \int\limits_{-T/2}^{T/2} e^{i\omega t} h_j(t) dt.$$

Correlation function in Fourier domain:

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = [a(\tau_s) \mathcal{E}(\omega) + ib(\tau_s) \mathcal{P}(\omega)] e^{i\omega\tau_s}.$$

 ${\ensuremath{\mathcal E}}$  is symmetric component

$$\mathcal{E}(\omega) = |\tilde{h}_{+}(\omega)|^{2} + |\tilde{h}_{\times}(\omega)|^{2},$$

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$  is antisymmetric component

$$\mathcal{P}(\omega) = \frac{1}{i} \left[ \tilde{h}_{+}(\omega) \, \tilde{h}_{\times}^{*}(\omega) - \tilde{h}_{\times}(\omega) \, \tilde{h}_{+}^{*}(\omega) \right].$$

Spin - quantum number that defines how the wave transforms under rotations

$$J_x, J_y, J_z$$

For gravitational waves spin is parallel to the direction of propagation (z-axis).

States with spin- $\uparrow$  and spin- $\downarrow$ :

$$u(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\tilde{h}_{+}(\omega) + i\tilde{h}_{\times}(\omega)],$$
  
$$d(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\tilde{h}_{+}(\omega) - i\tilde{h}_{\times}(\omega)].$$

These are eigen-states of  $J_z$  (where z is g.w.-propagation).

Rotation by  $\alpha$  along *z*-axis:

$$u(\omega) \rightarrow e^{+2i\alpha} u(\omega),$$
  
 $d(\omega) \rightarrow e^{-2i\alpha} d(\omega).$ 

 $N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow}$  is energy density:

$$\mathcal{E}(\omega) = u(\omega)u(\omega)^* + d(\omega)d(\omega)^*,$$

 $N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow}$  is spin density:

$$\mathcal{P}(\omega) = u(\omega)u(\omega)^* - d(\omega)d(\omega)^*.$$

#### Phase of the Correlation Function

Complex representation

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = \mathcal{R}(\omega) e^{i\chi(\omega)}$$

Magnitude of the correlation function

$$\mathcal{R}(\omega) = \sqrt{a(\tau_s)^2 \mathcal{E}(\omega)^2 + b(\tau_s)^2 \mathcal{P}(\omega)^2}.$$

Ratio of detected spin density to energy density:

$$r(\omega) = \frac{b(\tau_s) \mathcal{P}(\omega)}{a(\tau_s) \mathcal{E}(\omega)}.$$

Phase of the correlation function

$$\chi(\omega) = \omega \tau_s + \arctan\left\{r(\omega)\right\}.$$

Slope of  $\chi$ :

$$\tau_s + \left. \frac{dr}{d\omega} \right|_{\omega=0}.$$

Deviations from the linear trajectory:  $r(\omega) \neq 0$ 

# Conclusions

Basic algorithm:

- determine rough delay  $\tau_s$  from  $C(\tau)$
- calculate  $a(\tau_s)$  and  $b(\tau_s)$
- estimate the slope of  $\chi(\omega)$
- apply non-linear fit to estimate  $r(\omega)$
- reconstruct  $\mathcal{E}(\omega)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$

Conclusions:

- Linear phase of  $C(\omega)$  indicates delay  $\tau_s$
- Nonlinear phase of  $C(\omega)$  indicates spin density
- Allows to tell conclusively whether g.w. have spin
- Two detectors fix  $\theta$  for G.W. source
- Three detectors fix  $\theta$  and  $\phi$