

Post-It™ brand fax transmittal memo 7671		# of pages > 6	
To	LARRY JONES	From	M. TELLALIAN
Co.	CALTECH	Co.	CBI TS
Dept.	LIGO	Phone #	815-439-6517
Fax #	818-304-9834	Fax #	815-439-6010



1501 North Division Street  
Plainfield, Illinois 60544-8929

# FACSIMILE

Verify No. is: 815 439 6000

Page 1 of 6

October 4, 1994

To: Rai Weiss  
LIGO Project - MIT

Fax No. (617)253-7014

From: M. L. Tellalian Phone (815)439-6517

Plainfield Engineering - PAE

RE: Section Leak Test  
LIGO Design & Qualification Test - Caltech Contract C146

Rai,

Attached are the lab notes on our pump down and leak test today. As I discussed with Larry earlier, our background decreased to  $2.3 \times 10^{-9}$  based on a calibration with our  $2.0 \times 10^{-8}$  leak. The background was adjusted down to  $2.6 \times 10^{-10}$ . The background was pumped down from this reading from 10:00 to 13:00 nearly zero on the 10-10 scale and then it was adjusted back up to  $2.4 \times 10^{-10}$ . After another hour of pumping the background reading reduced to  $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ .

Helium was applied to an evacuated bag at 14:05 with the following conditions:

Chamber Pressure:	$1.1 \times 10^{-6}$
Helium Background :	$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ (Varying primarily between $1.0$ & $1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ )
Foreline Pressure:	2 millitorr
HMS Sensing Element Pressure:	$6 \times 10^{-5}$

At approximately 14:17, the background first exceeded the previously stable range with an oxygen content of 6.2 % inside the bag. As you can see, the helium background continued to rise. Approximately 1.75 bottles of helium were injected into the bag resulting in a low O<sub>2</sub> of 5.5%. The O<sub>2</sub> level started to increase when helium flow was stopped. The bag was well inflated and lifted off the tube. The background continued to rise even with the increase in the O<sub>2</sub> content in the bag. Inspection of the bag revealed a couple of areas near the heads that had been pulled off during the evacuation and filling with helium. At 15:00, nitrogen was applied to the bag with a helium background of  $2.0 \times 10^{-9}$  and rising. The chamber pressure was constant through the test until 15:07 when the gage showed a decrease to  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ . At 15:24 the bag was aired out to remove the nitrogen. Helium background continues to rise. Currently, the end seals are suspected of being the source of our background due to the holes in the bag around the end seals. The space between the end seal and the inflatable seal are being purged with N<sub>2</sub>.

We believe that the rise in helium pressure is due to permeation through the end seals or system seals. The isolation valve will be closed tonight and tomorrow some tests will be made with the intention of confirming our beliefs. The question is what was the sensitivity of our test during the first 12 minutes as helium was applied to the bag and the O<sub>2</sub> content reached 6.2%. The calculated response time is about 10 seconds which was confirmed with the  $4.7 \times 10^{-10}$  leak. Your thoughts on the test would be greatly appreciated.

Regards,

M. L. Tellalian  
Plainfield Engineering

cc: Larry Jones - LIGO Project  
FAX # (818)304-9834

2.3 x 10<sup>-9</sup> → 2.6 x 10<sup>-10</sup> <sup>mm</sup>

10:00 to 10:05	1.5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	4.7 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> LEAK OPENED, BACKGROUND ROSE & STABILIZED AT 6.8 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
10:10	1.5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	LEAK CLOSED, BACKGROUND DECREASE TO 2.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
10:20	1.4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	FORELINE 2 m Torr BACKGROUND 2.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
10:40	1.4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	DEGASSED GAUGE
10:50	1.3 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	FORELINE 2 m Torr BACKGROUND 2.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
5 TO 11:25	1.3 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	" 2 m Torr " 2.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
35 11:45	1.2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	FORELINE 3 m Torr, BACKGROUND 1.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
13:00	1.1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	FORELINE 3 m Torr BACKGROUND DECREASES TO 0.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> , ADJUSTED UP TO 2.4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
14:00	1.1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> t	BACKGROUND PUMPED DOWN TO 1.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> FORELINE P = 2 millitorr 1.5 x 10 <sup>-10</sup> HMS SENSING ELEMENT P = 6 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> To Page No. _____

Inspected & Understood by me,

Date

Invented by

Date

18 A.

10/3

BACKGROUND VARIATION MEASUREMENTS

READINGS TAKEN FROM 11:25 TO 11:35 EVERY 30 SECONDS  
 ALL READINGS WERE ON THE  $10^{-10}$  SCALE. THE BACKGROUND  
 WAS PREVIOUSLY ADJUSTED FROM  $2.3 \times 10^{-9}$  TO  $2.6 \times 10^{-10}$ .  
 BACKGROUND PUMPED DOWN TO  $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$  @ START OF READING  
 CHAMBER PRESSURE @  $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$

0	1.0	5:00	.5
:30	.9	5:30	.4
1:00	.8	6:00	.6
1:30	.8	6:30	.5
2:00	.6	7:00	.5
2:30	.7	7:30	.6
3:00	1.1	8:00	.6
3:30	.9	8:30	.4
4:00	.8	9:00	.3
4:30	.4	9:30	.6
		10:00	.4

ELECTRICAL  
SPIKEMEAN  $.61 \times 10^{-9}$ STANDARD DEVIATION  $.26 \times 10^{-10}$

Project No. \_\_\_\_\_

Book No. \_\_\_\_\_

Page No. \_\_\_\_\_

03 BAG ON FULL LENGTH OF TUBE EVACUATED. FITTINGS IN HEAD PURGED WITH N<sub>2</sub>.

Time	Pressure	% He	% O <sub>2</sub>	Notes
2:05	1.1 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>			BAG TIGHT TO VESSEL HELIUM INPUT TO BAG
2:08				BAG PUFFED AT ONE END. 1.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>
2:09	1.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	35	15% O <sub>2</sub>	
2:09:30	.8	44	13% O <sub>2</sub>	
2:10	.9	47	12.3% O <sub>2</sub>	
2:11	.7	57	9.9	BOTTLE HELIUM EMPTY
2:12	.8	59	9.4	
2:13	1.1 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	57	9.9	
2:14	1.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	63	8.7	2:13:40 NEW He BOTTLE
2:15	1.3 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	66	7.9	
2:16	1.4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	72	6.5	
2:17	1.6 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	73	6.2	
2:18	2.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		5.7	
2:19	2.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		5.5	He BOTTLE SHUT OFF
2:20	2.5 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		5.9	3/4 BOTTLE USED 300psi
2:21	2.9 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		6.3	REMAINING FROM 2300psi
2:22	3.1 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		7.3	
2:23	3.4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		8.0	
2:24	3.7 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		8.2	
2:25	4.8 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		8.6	
2:26	5.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		9.1	
2:27	5.3 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		9.6	NOTE: READINGS ON THIS PAGE ARE
2:28	6.0 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		10.2	2:03 pm TO 2:39 pm
2:29	6.4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		10.7	OR
2:30	7.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		11.1	
2:31	7.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		11.5	14:03 TO 14:39
2:32	7.8 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		12.7	
2:33	8.6 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		14.0	
2:34	8.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		14.3	
2:35	8.4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		14.5	
2:36	9.2 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		14.7	
2:37	9.3 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		15.0	
2:38	8.4 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>		15.1	
2:39	1.05 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>		15.3	

To Page No. \_\_\_\_\_

Read & Understood by me, N <sub>2</sub> 75.5 O <sub>2</sub> 23.2 A 1.3	Date	Invented by	Date
		Recorded by	

(1 -  $\frac{O_2}{23.2}$ ) 100 = % He

Project No. \_\_\_\_\_

Book No. \_\_\_\_\_

Time	Value	Notes
14:40	$1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	15.3
14:41	$1.2 \times 10^{-9}$	15.2
14:42	$1.4 \times 10^{-9}$	BAG INSPECTION
14:42	$1.4 \times 10^{-9}$	REVEALED HOLE IN
14:43	$1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	15.7 BAG AT EQUIPMENT END
14:44	$1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	13.7% O <sub>2</sub> & BAG HOLE,
14:45	$1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	HOLE FIXED AT 14:43
14:46	$1.4 \times 10^{-9}$	15.7
14:47	$1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	15.9
14:48	$1.4 \times 10^{-9}$	← ADDITIONAL GAP FOUND IN
14:49	$1.4 \times 10^{-9}$	16.1 EQUIPMENT END OF BAG
14:50	$1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	16.3 REPAIRED @ 14:51
14:51	$1.7 \times 10^{-9}$	16.3
14:52	$1.7 \times 10^{-9}$	
14:53	$1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	16.5 % O <sub>2</sub>
14:54	$1.7 \times 10^{-9}$	16.8 %
14:55	$1.7 \times 10^{-9}$	16.7 %
14:56	$1.8 \times 10^{-9}$	16.9 %
14:57	$2.0 \times 10^{-9}$	16.9 BAG SUCKED OUT
14:58	$1.9 \times 10^{-9}$	STARTING @ 14:58
14:59	$2.1 \times 10^{-9}$	N <sub>2</sub> APPLIED TO BAG @ 15:00
15:00	$1.9 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:01	$2.1 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:02	$1.9 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:03	$2.0 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:04	$2.2 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:05	$2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:06	$2.3 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:07	$2.5 \times 10^{-9}$	CHAMBER $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ @ 15:05
15:08	$2.2 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:09	$2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:10	$2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	15.4 % O <sub>2</sub>
15:11	$2.5 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:12	$2.5 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:13	$2.6 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:14	$2.6 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:15	$2.5 \times 10^{-9}$	
15:16	$2.5 \times 10^{-9}$	

\* NOTE: HOLE WAS A  
WRAPPED LENGTH OF  
APPROX 6" IN DIA

To Page No. \_\_\_\_\_

Used & Understood by me,	Date	Invented by	Date
		Recorded by	

Project No. \_\_\_\_\_

Book No. \_\_\_\_\_

Page No. \_\_\_\_\_

15:17	$2.7 \times 10^{-9}$	15.7% O <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> STILL BEING APPLIED TO BAG.
15:18	$2.4 \times 10^{-9}$		
15:19	$2.6 \times 10^{-9}$	19.6%	BAG SUCKED OUT @ 15:18
15:20	$2.8 \times 10^{-9}$		N <sub>2</sub> STILL ON
			<del>15:24</del> 4" TEAR IN BAG AT TOP OF GUIDED SUPPORT @ INSTRUMENT END.
5:25	$2.5 \times 10^{-9}$		N <sub>2</sub> STOPPED @ 15:24
15:30	$2.7 \times 10^{-9}$	15.1%	MACHINE
15:35	$3.0 \times 10^{-9}$		BAG CUT & BLOWN OUT @ AIR.
15:40	$3.1 \times 10^{-9}$		STARTED PURGING END SEALS D <sub>N2</sub>

To Page No. \_\_\_\_\_

Issued & Understood by me,

Date

Invented by

Date

Recorded by