New Folder Name *Vibration Isolation*

VIBRATION ISOLATION FOR GRAVITATIONAL WAVE DETECTION

D G Blair, L Ju and H Peng

Department of Physics
University of Western Australia

Abstract

Through a combination of experiment and numerical modelling, we show that vibration isolators using cantilever springs can be used to achieve vibration levels below 10^{-20} m/$\sqrt{Hz}$ for frequencies above 100Hz, and that this performance can probably be achieved for frequencies as low as 25Hz. Damping of high Q normal modes in all metal isolator structures can be relatively easily achieved by a combination of active and narrow band eddy current damping while the use of a single stage ultra low frequency pre-isolator can eliminate the need for such damping. Utilising an improved sapphire transducer, new measurements of the isolator's performance are presented. The measurement noise floor reaches 10^{-15} m/$\sqrt{Hz}$ for vertical displacements and 10^{-14} m/$\sqrt{Hz}$ for horizontal displacements.

1. Introduction

Predicted sources of gravitational waves in the 10Hz to 10kHz range have strain amplitude h~10^{-20}. Rare signals could be as strong as 10^{-18}, while frequent events can be expected at the sensitivity goal h~10^{-23} of large scale laser interferometer detectors (LIDs). In practice both metre-scale detectors (resonant bars) and kilometre scale detectors must be able to register displacement amplitudes ~10^{-20}m to achieve their sensitivity goals (h~10^{-20} and 10^{-23} respectively).

In the frequency range 1Hz to 10^3Hz ground vibration amplitudes are typically given by x = k f^{-2}m/$\sqrt{Hz}$, where k varies from ~10^{-6} at a bad urban site, to ~10^{-8} in underground sites. It is therefore necessary to attenuate ground vibrations by 8-10 orders of magnitude at 100Hz.

A simple vibration isolator is a mechanical low pass filter. While attenuating high frequency vibration, without care it can resonantly amplify low frequency vibration. Traditional isolators consist of a stack of alternating masses and damped springs, usually made of rubber. However damping introduces thermal noise and rubber has poor vacuum performance and poor dimensional stability.

The N normal modes of an N-stage isolator are distributed below the cut-off frequency, depending on the individual masses and spring constants chosen, as shown in the figures below. The low pass cut off frequency is usually about twice the single mass-spring frequency of the isolator elements. To operate in the high vacuum of a LID, it is convenient if the isolator is made of all metal components. This means that the normal modes may have relatively high Q factors(~10^2-10^3). The isolator is a complex system of coupled resonators, so the Q-value of each normal mode depends on the losses of all of the elements. Some normal mode amplitudes may be many orders of magnitude higher than the background seismic noise. This can lead to many practical difficulties.

In a laser interferometer detector the optical cavities or delay lines must be accurately locked to the laser light. Servo control is greatly simplified if the mirror velocities are low. Long term drifts due to temperature variation or creep will also be a problem for long term operation. Thus rubber is best avoided. All metal isolator structures should be superior except for the problem of resonant enhancement of the normal modes.

An additional problem affecting coastal sites above ground is the existence of a micro seismic noise peak at about 0.2Hz, caused by ocean waves. The peak can reach about 30 μm amplitude, and since the seismic wave velocity is low (~300 m.s^{-1}) the signal can be uncorrelated between the arms of a 3km interferometer. The velocity amplitude may be as great as 30 μm.s^{-1} [1]. To overcome this problem some vibration isolation at about 0.1Hz is advantageous.

With these constraints in mind, we show in this paper that isolators can be optimised using a variety of techniques. Resonant enhancement of the normal modes can be minimised by use of active reaction force damping and magnetic resonant absorbers. Alternatively, ultra low frequency pre-isolation can minimise seismic excitation of these

modes. We show that a judicious mixture of these methods can be used to create isolation systems which are suitable for use in high vacuum environments, and which can give isolation performance superior to that originally anticipated for LIDs. We show that noise levels below 10^{-20}m/$\sqrt{Hz}$ can be expected for frequencies above 100Hz, and demonstrate performance to 10^{-15}m/$\sqrt{Hz}$. (The level is set by the noise floor of the sapphire transducer used in the tests). Model results demonstrate the advantage of using ultra low frequency pre-isolators, and we propose a configuration utilising negative spring which would be suitable.

2. Damping Methods for Multistage Isolators

We have studied damping techniques on an all-metal multistage isolator with cut off frequency ~40Hz vertically and ~20Hz horizontally. These use steel masses and cantilever springs and are described in reference [2]. Each stage uses a 40kg disc and a star shaped spring structure with a 22Hz natural frequency. Figure 1 shows typical normal mode response curves. There is good agreement between theoretical and experimental performance. It is also evident that there is cross coupling between the vertical and horizontal responses. This create a shoulder in the horizontal response data about 2 orders of magnitude below the horizontal normal modes.

It is useful to consider the possible damping techniques available to reduce normal mode amplitudes. First we considered the use of lossy metals. The well known low Q metals such as lead could be utilised to reduce the Q-factor of springs. The low Q of lead arises from an acoustic loss peak near room temperature. This is strongly temperature dependent, and reduces the Q factor to about 30. We have investigated the use of cantilever springs coated with a thick layer of lead[3]. Unfortunately, because a large mass of low loss material is required to maintain the strength of the spring, we find this technique to be unsatisfactory. It is very difficult to achieve Q-factors below 100.

A more elegant approach is to use **magnetic eddy current damping**. In a LID, the uncertainty principle requires the test masses to be ~ 1 tonne. Thus isolators must be designed to support such loads. We have found that magnetic eddy current damping using high field NdFeB magnets moving near copper conductors can exceed critical damping when the conductor mass is less than about 10 times the magnet mass. For 1 tonne test masses, and comparably massive isolator elements the cost and complexity of direct magnet damping make this an impractical approach. An alternative method of magnetic damping utilises the **narrow band resonant absorber**[4]. In this case individual modes of an isolator can be damped using a magnetically damped tuned resonator of reduced mass. A low mass resonator is attached to the isolator in a position where it couples well to the mode of interest. We have used a cantilever spring resonator with copper masses. NdFeB magnets are mounted near to the copper mass. The resonator exchanges energy with the relevant isolator mode, while its mass is low enough that it does not significantly alter the isolator dynamics. The Q factor of the chosen mode can be tuned by adjustment of the magnet-copper spacing. Such an absorber is able to lower the Q factor of a normal mode to a value given by

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1+\mu}{\mu}\right)3} \qquad (1)$$

3

where $\mu = m/M$ is the mass ratio of the absorber and vibrating element.

Figure 2 shows the arrangement of the narrow band vibration absorber and figure 3 shows the effect of one damped absorber tuned to the lowest vertical resonant peak.

In this way we have been able to reduce the resonant excitation of the lower normal modes of an isolator (at 6.4Hz and 18.2Hz) to within an order of magnitude of the seismic noise background.

An alternative approach is to use **active damping**. The motion is sensed with an accelerometer, and a force is fed back to the isolator with a phase appropriate for damping the motion. For conventional engineering applications, the force transducer is mechanically referenced to the ground. This is undesirable in our case, as this would introduce seismic noise which would effectively short circuit the isolator. For this reason a reaction force method is preferable, and the forces are applied relative to an inertial mass. The device is effectively an inverse accelerometer. We use a loudspeaker with the reaction mass of the cone increased to about ~0.1kg. The arrangement of active damping was described in reference [2]. In practice, reaction force damping works better at higher frequencies because the finite mechanical range of the driver (about 10mm) causes the maximum applied force to reduce quadratically as the frequency falls to zero.

Using a combination of resonant absorption for the lower modes and active reaction-force damping for the higher modes we are able to reduce the amplitude of the normal modes in a 5 stage isolation stack to less than ~10^{-7} metres (figure 4). The peak velocity (which occurs for the first and third modes) is reduced to $< 2\mu m\cdot s^{-1}$. This isolator, when combined with a single pendulum to suspend the test-mass mirrors in a LID, would achieve reasonable performance above 100Hz. This is discussed in section 3.

While these results are satisfactory, we now show that an alternative approach - the use of a single **ultra low frequency (ULF)** pre-isolation stage, if it could be realised, would be preferable. In the ideal case one such stage would replace all multistage isolators. Realistically, the ULF stage (incorporating either active or passive damping of its single low frequency normal mode) would eliminate the normal mode problems in a conventional isolation stack, and also provide isolation against the microseismic noise peak. In section 4 a practical design for such an isolator is discussed.

3. Comparison of Isolation Configurations

To explore new isolator configurations, we use computer modelling methods to compare various isolator configurations. In particular we consider the advantages of low frequency (LF) stages (eg: a pendulum with frequency ~1Hz) and ULF stages in various combinations with or without the mid-frequency (MF) isolation stacks discussed above. In this analysis it is critical to include both the thermal noise and the seismic noise contribution to the isolator response. Finally, we include a non-ideal but more realistic response for the ULF stage.

Figure 5 shows the response of several hypothetical isolators. In all cases we assume that the isolator is driven by $10^{-6} f^{-2}$ m/$\sqrt{Hz}$ seismic noise (a conservative estimate) above

4

1Hz, white seismic noise of amplitude 10^{-6}m/$\sqrt{Hz}$ below 1Hz and thermal noise at room temperature. Curve (a.1) shows the response of a 5 stage MF isolator of the type discussed in section 2 ($f_0 = 22$Hz, $Q_{all} = 10^3$). Curve (a.2) gives the response of a single stage 10^{-1}Hz isolator with a Q-factor of 10^2. Curve (a.3) a single stage 10^{-1}Hz isolator with a Q = 10^2 followed by a % stage MF isolation stack the same as in curve (a.1). The ULF isolator clearly gives an enormous advantage in that both thermal noise and isolation are extremely good above several tens of hertz. Somewhat better performance can be regained if the isolator of curve (a.3) has added to it a pendulum with Q ~ 10^6, as shown in curve (b.1), and even better if the pendulum Q is increased to 10^8 (curve (b.2)). However a ULF stage followed by a 1Hz pendulum with Q = 10^6 (curve (b.3)) is superior to the ideal ULF stage alone.

Comparing curves (b.1) and (b.3), there is little advantage in adding a MF stack to an ideal ULF stage. The above results would imply a very simple solution to the vibration isolation problem if a high Q ULF stage could be realised and used in conjunction with a high Q 1Hz pendulum. Unfortunately it is probably impossible to achieve such ideal performance because of the presence of internal modes and acoustic transmission paths in any large structure which could have the desired ULF resonance. This is an example of a rather general rule that low pass filters, whether mechanical or electrical, cease to maintain their performance as they cross the transition region from low frequencies where they can be modelled and constructed in terms of lumped circuit parameters, to frequencies where their distributed nature dominates. The breakdown occurs first at the frequency of the lowest internal mode, and becomes progressively more serious as the mode density increases.

We now discuss the implementation of a ULF isolator. For the models we have considered, the first internal modes will occur between 10-100Hz. Above this point the internal modes will contribute their own thermal noise, and will strongly transmit seismic noise. We model this by assuming a high pass cut off, between 10Hz and 100Hz. Figure 6 shows the isolator response in this case. It is now apparent that best performance will be achieved if a MF stack and pendulum stage are included. The MF stack provides isolation against the non-ideal feed through of the ULF stage, and the 1Hz stage provides the final high Q suspension. The final response achieved depends on the cut off frequency of the ULF stage, and the Q of the final pendulum stage.

Figure 7 shows the final achievable response of the isolation configuration as described above shown in the region of greatest interest, along with the photon shot noise limit estimated previously in reference [5]. The dotted line shows the degraded performance if the ULF stage is short circuited after 10Hz by internal resonances. The configuration proposed here gives a significant additional margin in low frequency response, compared with isolation configurations proposed for the LIGO and GEO projects[6,7]. This could be very valuable in the identification of binary coalescence events, because it allows observations at frequencies where the rate of change of frequency is much slower.

It is interesting to ask what ultimate low frequency behaviour could be achieved. We have tested cantilever stages with resonant frequency ~2Hz[8]. Final stage pendulum suspension could achieve Q~10^{10} [9]. An isolator with five 2Hz stages, combined with a ULF stage and a pendulum of Q~10^{10} would have the performance shown in Fig.8.

5

There is little advantage of having more than five 2Hz stages. Further details of the design of such an isolator will be published elsewhere.

4. Practical ULF Stage

We consider here ways of implementing an ultra-low frequency stage. Giazotto et al[10] have experimented with gas springs, and have achieved very low cut-off frequencies. An alternative method is the use of negative springs. These can be implemented in one or two dimensions using either magnetic repulsion, or gravity (the inverse pendulum) to provide a negative spring constant to cancel the internal spring constant of a simple resonator. A similar system has been proposed by Giazotto et al[11]. Taking the inverse pendulum as an example, the angular frequency is given by

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m} - \frac{g}{l}} \qquad (2)$$

where k is the spring constant of a vertical (inverse pendulum) cantilever spring, m is its mass, g is the acceleration due to gravity and l is its length. In this case $\omega \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow kl/g$.

Using these principles we have reduced the resonant frequency of a 60cm high horizontal platform from a few Hz (at zero mass loading) to 0.14 Hz. Using this method we are confident that by adding active control to a larger scale structure, we can reduce the resonant frequency to between 10^{-1} and 10^{-2} Hz, while maintaining reasonable stability. A comparable vertical stage has been designed using torsion bar springs and NdFeB magnets in repulsion to provide a negative spring constant. When combined, these stages can provide 3 dimensional isolation from 10^{-1} Hz to 100Hz. Fig.9 shows a simplified diagram of such an isolator, which could have the isolation characteristic shown in Fig.6 (b), but this could be degraded to Fig.6 (a) if the first internal resonances occur at 10Hz.

5 Noise floor measurement using a sapphire transducer

The sapphire transducer is an extremely sensitive accelerometer which has been described elsewhere[12]. However, it has a dynamic range of only 10^{-7}m. To prevent the low frequency normal modes from saturating the transducer, we have used a test mass mechanical resonant frequency of 210Hz so that it is comparatively insensitive to the (less than 210Hz) normal modes of the isolator. This greatly increases the noise floor below 200Hz but allows a wider dynamic range to be achieved. In addition, two additional low frequency stages were added to the five stage isolator. The first, acting as a pre-isolator, is a 2Hz vertical spring which suspends the entire experiment (including the vacuum system), while the second, a 1Hz pendulum is attached at the bottom of the isolator to provide horizontal attenuation of the normal modes resonant peaks. Data was taken in separate runs with the transducer set up first vertically and then horizontally. In each case the microwave readout system for the transducer was different, and the noise floors achieved was 10^{-15}m/√Hz for the vertical system, and 10^{-14}m/√Hz when set horizontally as shown in Fig.10. The sharp peaks on the noise floor are due to the microwave system which pumps the sapphire transducer. The only real signals visible

are below 50Hz.

Using narrow band excitation at a level of about 40dB above seismic noise, there is no detectable vertical or horizontal signal from the sapphire transducer above 500Hz. Between 200Hz and 500Hz, a 300Hz vertical resonance and 490Hz horizontal resonance were observed under such excitation. However, we believe that these two peaks were due to mechanical vibration of one component of the microwave signal recovery system, and are not due to transmission in the isolator.

To our knowledge the sapphire transducer is the most sensitive non-cryogenic local inertial sensor ever developed. However these test only demonstrate that the isolators do not have any serious failings. The 10^{-15}m/$\sqrt{Hz}$ noise floor is still well above the expected performance level of the isolator, and only a gravitational wave detector readout system will be able to confirm this performance to full specifications. The narrow band excitation measurements demonstrate that the isolators behave linearly. Non linear up conversion is not a problem and the 40dB excess seismic noise can be used to extrapolate the isolator performance to 10^{-17}m/$\sqrt{Hz}$, still 3 orders of magnitude above the expected design performance.

6.   Conclusion

Isolation systems for laser interferometer gravitational wave detectors could be improved by the use of ultra low frequency isolation stages using negative springs to achieve corner frequencies of the order of 0.1Hz. Passive absorption damping or reaction force damping can be harnessed to reduce the effective Q-factors of the normal modes in mid-frequency isolators to about 10. However, a final high Q pendulum stage is still necessary to reduce the thermal noise in the last stage of the isolator. A proposed isolator configuration allows the strain sensitivity of a 3km interferometer to reach 10^{-23}/$\sqrt{Hz}$ for frequencies above 100Hz. An isolator a ULF stage combined with 5 stages 2Hz cantilever spring mass system and a very high Q pendulum (Q = 10^{10}) could achieve the same sensitivity down to 25Hz. Local measurements on one isolator using a sapphire transducer show no excess vibration above the 10^{15}m/$\sqrt{Hz}$ noise floor of the transducer. Excitation measurements allows this to be extrapolated to 10^{-17}m/$\sqrt{Hz}$. Further work will test the operation of the isolator in an 8m Michlson interferometer, and will use lower normal mode frequencies.

7

Reference

1   D.G.Blair, D.E.McClelland and H.Bachor Gravitational Wave and the AIGO Project, *Australian & New Zealand Physicist*, 29, 64 (1992)

2   L.Ju, D.G.Blair, H.Peng and F.van Kann, High dynamic range measurements of an all metal isolator using a sapphire transducer, *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 3, 463 (1992)

3   D.G.Blair, F.J Van Kann and A.L.Fairhall, Behaviour of a vibration isolator suitable for use in cryogenic of vacuum environment, *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 2, 846 (1991)

4   J.S.Rao and K.Gupta, Introductory Course on Theory and Practice of Mechanical Vibration, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1984)

5   R.W.P.Drever, Fabry-Perot cavity gravity-wave detectors, *The Detection of Gravitational waves*, Edited by .D.G.Blair, Cambridge University Press, 1991

6   A.Abramovici *et al.*, *Science*, 256, 325 (1992)

7   J.Hough, Prospects For Gravitational wave Detectors With Laser Interferometer Detectors, Proceeding of the fifth Marcel Grossmann Meeting, Edited by D.G.Blair and M. Buckingham, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989

8   D.G.Blair and L.Ju, Low Frequency Cantilever Spring Vibration Isolators, to be published

9   D.G.Blair, L.Ju and M.Notcutt, Ultra High Q Suspensions for Gravitational Wave Detectors, to be published

10   R.D.Fabbro, A.D.Virgillio, A.Giazotto *et al.*, Performance of a gas spring harmonic oscillator *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 59, 293(1988)

11   A.Giazotto, private communication

12   D.G.Blair, E.N.Ivanov and H.Peng, Sapphire dielectric resonator transducers, *Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 25, 1110 (1992)

Figure caption

Fig 1 Comparison of theoretical and experimental response curves of five stage isolator driven with white noise. (a) Vertical response, and (b) Horizontal response. The solid lines are experimental results and the dotted lines are the theoretical ones. Note that the horizontal experimental response has a shoulder between 20Hz and 35Hz due to a cross coupling of the vertical normal modes at about 10^{-2} of their amplitude.

Fig 2 Narrow band damped absorber arrangement, show (1) the cantilever spring, (2) the copper mass, and (3) a NdFeB magnet for damping.

Fig 3 Spectral response of a narrow band magnetically damped vibration absorber tuned to 6.4Hz normal node of a 5 stage 22Hz isolator. The absorber is mounted on the 4th mass where the amplitude of the first mode is the large.

Fig 4 Comparison of seismic noise measured on the laboratory floor and the normal mode response of a 5 stage isolator when active and passive damping are applied. Above 50Hz the measurement is limited by the noise floor of the geophone used for the measurements.

Fig 5 Comparison of the responses of different isolator configurations.
(a) Comparison of the responses of a 5 stage MF isolator with and without a ULF stage. (a.1)--five MF stages ($f_0 = 22$Hz, $Q_{all} = 10^3$); (a.2)--a single ULF stage (f_0=0.1Hz, Q=10^2); (a.3)--a ULF stage followed by five MF stages.
(b) Isolation responses with a 1Hz pendulum for the final stage. (b.1)--a ULF stage ($f_0 = 0.1$Hz, $Q = 10^2$) followed by five MF stages ($Q_{all} = 10^3$) plus a 1Hz pendulum (Q=10^6). (b.2)--The pendulum Q-factor is increased to 10^8. (b.3)----a ULF stage ($f_0 =0.1$Hz, $Q = 10^2$) directly followed by a 1Hz pendulum ($Q = 10^6$).

Fig 6 Isolator responses for configurations in which the ULF stage is short circuited above some cut off frequency. ULF stage: $f = 0.1$Hz, $Q = 10^2$, 5 stage MF stack: $f_0 = 22$Hz, $Q_{all} = 10^3$, high Q pendulum: $f = 1$Hz, $Q = 10^8$. (a)The ULF stage is short circuited at 10Hz. (b)The ULF stage is short circuited at 100Hz. Note that if the first internal resonance occurred at 100Hz, there is practically no degradation of performance. For comparison, the dotted lines in both diagrams are the response of the configuration of ULF+ Pendulum without the 5 stage MF isolator.

Fig 7 Isolation achievable in a 3km interferometer using an ultra low frequency stage ($Q= 10^2$) and a 5 stage 22Hz stack ($Q = 10^3$), followed by a high Q ($Q = 10^8$) pendulum isolation along with photon noise. The dotted line is the case that the first internal resonance of the ULF stage occurs at 10Hz.

Fig 8 Ultimate isolation performance by using an ultra low frequency stage ($f = 0.1$Hz, $Q = 10^2$) and a 5 stage 2Hz stack ($Q_{1-4} = 10^3$, $Q_5 = 10^6$), followed by an ultra-high Q pendulum ($f = 1$Hz, $Q = 10^{10}$). The dotted line is that with five 22Hz mid

9

frequency stages. The high Q-factor for element 5 of 2Hz stack is necessary to prevent thermal noise from this stage from degrading overall performance.

Fig 9 Simplified diagram of a proposed 10^{-1}Hz isolator consisting of a horizontal stage based on an inverse pendulum, and a vertical stage using torsion bars and negative magnetic springs. Four pillars have flexure regions at the top and bottom to create the inverse pendulum. The magnets are set in repulsion to create a magnetic negative spring to balance against the torsion bar.

Fig.10 Performance of the isolator. (a) Vertical response. (b) Horizontal response. The only data which represents real seismic signals and not the transducer noise floor is for frequencies below 50Hz.

Response m/vHz — Frequency Hz

(a)

Fig 1 (a)

(b)

Fig (1) (b)

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5 (a)

Frequency Hz

(b)

Fig 5 (b)

(a)

Fig 6(a)

Fig 6(b)

photon noise
($\varepsilon_0 I = 50W$, $1-R = 5 \times 10^{-5}$)

Frequency Hz

x (m/$\sqrt{Hz}$)

h 1/$\sqrt{Hz}$

Fig 7

Fig 8

torsion bar

magnets

5 stage
isolation
stack

test
mass

Fig 9

Fig 10 (a)

(b)

Fig 10(b)