# LIGO Laboratory / LIGO Scientific Collaboration

LIGO-T050261-00-D

Advanced LIGO

6 Dec 2005

# Quadruple Suspension Dynamics Model with Finite Elements (Advanced LIGO)

Dennis Coyne

Distribution of this document: SUS subsystem group

This is an internal working note of the LIGO Project.

California Institute of Technology LIGO Project – MS 18-34 1200 E. California Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91125 Phone (626) 395-2129 Fax (626) 304-9834 E-mail: info@ligo.caltech.edu

LIGO Hanford Observatory P.O. Box 1970 Mail Stop S9-02 Richland WA 99352 Phone 509-372-8106 Fax 509-372-8137 Massachusetts Institute of Technology LIGO Project – NW17-161 175 Albany St Cambridge, MA 02139 Phone (617) 253-4824 Fax (617) 253-7014 E-mail: info@ligo.mit.edu

LIGO Livingston Observatory P.O. Box 940 Livingston, LA 70754 Phone 225-686-3100 Fax 225-686-7189

http://www.ligo.caltech.edu/

#### **Change Record:**

Revision -00: Initial Release. Written 6 Dec 2005 (issued 26 June 2006). Note that this was work performed, and documented (to the extent given below) late last year but not completed. The work was started when neither the Matlab nor the Mathematica suspension models of the quadruple pendulum were matching initial response of the controls quadruple suspension. Eventually it was found that the largest discrepancy was the position of the effective wire flexure point. With beam elements modeling the wires, this was not a limitation of this finite element approach. The finite element model of the suspension was relatively successful, except that the lowest frequency pitch mode was at times found to be negative. This is either a model parameter problem or a numerical problem in the eigensolver.

## 1 Introduction

There are currently three models used for dynamics modeling of suspensions:

- A <u>Mathematica Model</u><sup>1</sup> created by Mark Barton. This model has been used to model the Mode Cleaner triple suspension and the quadruple suspension<sup>2</sup>.
- A Matlab Model<sup>3</sup> created by Calum Torrie. This model has been extended and applied in design for the triple and the quadruple suspensions<sup>4</sup>.
- A Maple Model<sup>5</sup> created by Mathew Husman.

All three of these models are based on closed form equations.

So why yet another approach to modeling? Recent measurements on the quadruple, controls prototype suspension did not (initially) match eigenfrequency predictions. Questions arose about the proper modeling of the effective wire flexure distance<sup>6</sup> and the effect of non-vertical wires, blade spring stiffnesses other than in the principal bending direction (in-plane longitudinal, lateral and torsional stiffness), off-diagonal mass moments of inertia, non-flat blade spring geometry, geometry faithful to the CAD, blade tip mass, etc. All of these factors can be included in a finite element based numerical model.

There are (at least) two basic approaches to developing the finite element model:

• Geometry derived from the CAD 3D model. The virtue of this approach is that the model can be keyed to the CAD files used to create the physical parts. In principle a parametric 3D CAD model can be dynamically linked to the finite element model so that updates to the

with particular reference to an analytical model of them in Matlab.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M. Barton, <u>Models of the Advanced LIGO Suspensions in Mathematic, LIGO-T020205-00</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> N. Robertson, M. Barton, J. Greenhalgh, <u>Cross-Coupling in Quadruple Suspension, T040143-00</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> C. Torrie, "Development of Suspensions for the GEO600 Gravitational Wave Detector",

PhD Thesis, University of Glasgow, 2000. Description of the design of the GEO suspensions,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> N. Robertson, et. al., <u>Advanced LIGO Suspension System Conceptual Design</u>, T010103-04.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> M. Husman, "Suspension and Control for Interferometric Gravitational Wave Detectors", PhD Thesis, University of Glasgow, 2000. Description of the design and control of the GEO suspensions, with particular reference to an analytic model of them in Maple.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> N. Robertson, M. Barton, Technical Note on the Effects of Stiff Wires on the Behaviour of Suspensions, LIGO number pending, 9 Sep 2005.

CAD can propagate to the model; In practice this level of interoperability can be difficult to achieve. $^{7}$ 

• Geometry generated from a command script with parameter values. The virtue of this approach is that the model can be updated quickly with a simple text edit (similar to editing the input parameters for either the Mathematica or Matlab models). Generating the eigensolution or transfer function for perturbations in parameter values is quick and easy.

I've describe both approaches in the following sections. The first approach was accomplished with I-DEAS and the second approach was attempted with ANSYS. However, either finite element tool could be used for either approach.

# 2 Approximations

Linear

Pre-stress, not geometrically nonlinear pre-load – assuming ideal loading to intended location

Etc.

Note approximations that are inherent & not inherent in the FEA approach

## **3** Geometry Derived from CAD

The quadruple suspension parts were developed as 3D parts and assemblies in SolidWorks. Since I-Deas does not directly import SolidWorks files, I converted. Of the available conversions<sup>8</sup>, I found IGES to work best. However it is essential to minimize the complexity of the assembly; Only include those parts essential for developing the model. Hidden parts in SolidWorks are not converted.

Once the geometry is imported into I-Deas, use the wireframe attach function to associate the finite element mesh with the assembly (or part). The following idealizations of the suspension were made in developing the mesh:

- Blade springs are modeled as plates (shells): The top surfaces of each blade spring solid part were selected for auto-meshing of shell elements (with bending, membrane and torsional stiffnesses). The thicknesses and material properties were keyed in manually.
- Wires are modeled as beams: The intersections of the wires with the clamp faces were 'found' by adding line and point features keyed to the imported geometry. Then beam elements (bending, torsion and extensional stiffness) were auto-meshed between the wire/clamp interface points. The cross-sectional geometry of each wire and the wire material properties were then keyed in manually.
- Suspension masses modeled as "lumped masses": The essentially rigid parts that comprise the suspension masses (upper mass, upper intermediate mass, penultimate mass and test

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This seamless updating of CAD and FEM is possible within I-DEAS, but does not appear possible between our chosen CAD tool, SolidWorks, and ANSYS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Of flavors generated by SolidWorks 2005 and read by I-Deas v9, STEP203 had 3 of the 6 blades facing the wrong way, STEP214 didn't work.

mass) are modeled as "lumped" (point) masses with a full mass moment of inertia matrix. The matrix was calculated in SolidWorks for each suspension mass, with the blade springs removed, and then keyed into I-Deas manually. The locations were established from the imported geometry.

• Multipoint constraints (MPC): The point mass elements representing the suspension masses were then tied rigidly to the flexible elements (spring blade bases and wire ends) using multipoint rigid constraints which ensured that all 6 degrees of freedom of the suspension masses are imposed on the attachments. Since the MPC elements do not appear in any mode shape plots in I-Deas, stiff, low mass beams were added vertically and horizontally to each point mass (with dimensions equal to the physical extent of the actual suspension mass) to help visualize the motion of each suspension mass.

## 3.1 Model

The model is depicted in the following Figures. More explanation and description ...

### Figure 1: CAD Derived Finite Element Model









# 3.2 Eigensolution

Explain concerns with low frequency solutions – may have a numerical eigensolver problem.

### **Table 1: Modal Frequencies**

	Frequency(Hz)	Modal Prop.			
Mode #	Undamped 🗖	Mass 🗖	% X-Mass	% Y-Mass	% Z-Mass
*2	0.2549	1.32767e+06	3.58	0.00	0.00
*3	0.4358	4.73684e+07	0.00	0.00	77.20
*4	0.4707	2.41062e+07	68.87	0.00	0.00
*5	0.5408	525608	0.02	0.00	0.18
*6	0.5611	3.33127e+07	0.00	93.22	0.00
*7	0.9530	354642	0.00	0.00	0.00
*8	0.9879	9.72652e+06	0.00	0.00	15.25
*9	1.0346	9.75084e+06	17.46	0.00	0.00
*1	1.6717	342382	0.00	0.00	0.00
*10	1.7018	1.03984e+06	0.00	0.00	0.00
*11	1.7843	259162	1.04	0.00	0.01
*12	1.9703	2.59522e+06	0.05	0.00	5.05
*13	2.0580	676561	6.68	0.00	0.03
*14	2.1440	236195	0.27	0.00	0.01
*15	2.2637	2.22979e+06	0.00	5.22	0.00
*16	2.7155	271473	0.00	0.00	0.00
*17	2.8448	593596	0.01	0.00	0.00
*18	3.0861	400200	0.00	0.00	0.00
*19	3.2241	186502	0.14	0.00	0.01
*20	3.3555	843797	0.00	0.00	0.78
*21	3.6121	615741	0.00	0.43	0.00
*22	4.5777	1.03667e+06	0.38	0.00	0.00
*23	15.2017	3.3333e+06	0.00	0.00	0.00
*24	22.0533	908453	0.00	0.00	0.00
*25	64.6838	5238.6	0.01	0.00	0.00
*26	64.7360	5182.54	0.00	0.42	0.00
*27	93.4493	1746.49	0.00	0.00	0.00
*28	93.6721	1753.28	0.00	0.00	0.00
*29	112.9465	1153.3	0.00	0.00	0.00
*30	113.1198	1156.63	0.00	0.00	0.00

*26	64.7360	5182.54	0.00	0.42	0.00
*27	93.4493	1746.49	0.00	0.00	0.00
*28	93.6721	1753.28	0.00	0.00	0.00
*29	112.9465	1153.3	0.00	0.00	0.00
*30	113.1198	1156.63	0.00	0.00	0.00
*31	198.3211	940.398	0.00	0.02	0.00
*32	198.3726	945.893	0.00	0.16	0.00
*33	238.2189	25.3292	0.00	0.00	0.00
*34	238.2189	42.044	0.00	0.00	0.00
*35	238.2189	38.9075	0.00	0.00	0.00
*36	238.2189	77.564	0.00	0.00	0.00
*37	238.2189	25.3374	0.00	0.00	0.00
*38	238.2225	52.3369	0.00	0.00	0.00
*39	238.2225	52.3368	0.00	0.00	0.00
*40	238.2317	52.7298	0.00	0.00	0.00

Mode shape plots are given in the following figures. Mode shape movies for the first 33 modes follow.



























#### T050261-00







#### T050261-00















Page 34 of 56



Mode shape movies are given in the following figures (just double click to launch viewer).











### <u>T050261-00</u>











I-DEAS 9 : Simulation	· []
I-DEAS 9 : Simulation	15-Nov-05 19:22:10
Database: /home/coyne/ideas/ideas/ideas9/etmSUS/etm_suspend.mf1 View : none, none, none, none Task : Post Processing Model: Fem3 visualize Active Studu: DFFAULT FE STUDY	Units : MM Display : none, none, none Model/Part Bin: Main Parent Part: etm sus
Taki: Post Processing Medel: Fall Visables Prove/Cogne/ideas/ide	Model/Part Bin: Main Parent Part: etm sus         VALUE OPTION:ACTUAL         2.12D+02         1.91D+02         1.70D+02         1.49D+02         1.27D+02         1.06D+02         8.49D+01         6.37D+01         4.25D+01         2.12D+01
	0. <del>0</del> 0]+00
Displays a left side view of your part or assembly	

I–DEAS 9 : Simulation	· 🗆
I-DEAS 9 : Simulation	15-Nov-05 19:22:36
Database: /home/coyne/ideas/ideas9/etmSUS/etm_suspend.mf1 View : none, none, none Task : Post Processing Madeb Ford ender and containe Orbital DEFOUNT FE STURY	Units : MM Display : none, none, none, none Model/Part Bin: Main
Taski: Post Processing medel: Factor Standard S	Model/Part Bin: Main Parent Part: etm sus VALUE OPTION:ACTUAL 1.96D+02 1.76D+02 1.56D+02 1.37D+02 1.17D+02 9.78D+01 7.82D+01 3.91D+01 1.96D+01
	0.600+00

- I-DEAS 9 : Simulation	•
I-DEAS 9 : Simulation 15-Nov-05 19	:23:00
Units View : none, none, none, none Task : Post Processing Model/Part B Model: Fem3 visualize Active Study: DEFAULT FE STUDY Parent Part:	s : MM ne, none in: Main etm sus
Read 1 Min Unbuilty         Returb Elagy Bulkel PT 1000         Parter Parts           PERULTS: 37 - B.C. 1.NORML_MOLE 37, DISFLACEMEN_37         ************************************	ACTUAL 1D+02 1D+02 1D+02 1D+02 1D+02 1D+02 1D+02 1D+01 1D+01 1D+01 1D+01 1D+01 1D+01 1D+01 1D+01 1D+01 1D+01 1D+02 1

L-EDR 9: Sinulation 19-400-03 19-232: DULL 19-400-03-032: DULL 19-400-032: DULL 19-400	I-DEAS 9 : Simulation	•
Database: /tone/course/idee:/does	I-DEAS 9 : Simulation	15-Nov-05 19:23:21
PRODUCTS         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00         7:00:00:00:00         7:00:00:00:00         7:00:00:00:00         7:00:00:00:00         7:00:00:00:00:00         7:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00	Database: /home/coyne/ideas/ideas9/etmSUS/etm_suspend.mf1 View : none, none, none, none Task : Post Processing Model: Fem3 visualize Active Study: DEFAULT FE STUDY	Units : MM Display : none, none, none, none Model/Part Bin: Main Parent Part: etm sus
1.920+01 0.600+00 1	Visu : none, none, none, none, tone, none Task : Post Processing Active Study: DEFAULT FE STUDY //ome/coune/ideas/ideas9/etmSUS/etm_suspend.mf1 MODE: 39 - D.C. 1.NORMAL_MODE 39.DISPLACEMEN_39 MODE: 39 - FEC: 128.2225 DEFORMATINE : NORMAL_MODE 39.DISPLACEMEN_39 TISPLACEMENT - MAG NIN: 0.00E+00 MAX: 1.92E+02 TRAME OF REF: PART	Display : none, none, none, none Model/Part Bin: Main Parent Part: etm sus VALUE OPTION:ACTUAL 1.92D+02 1.73D+02 1.53D+02 1.34D+02 9.59D+01 7.67D+01 5.75D+01 3.84D+01
		1.920+01 0. <del>600+00</del> 1

- I-DEAS 9 : Simulation	
I-DEAS 9 : Simulation	15-Nov-05 19:23:54
Database: /home/coyne/ideas/ideas9/etmSUS/etm_suspend.mf1 View : none, none, none Task : Post Processing Model: Fem3 visualize Active Study: DEFAULT FE STUDY	Units : MM Display : none, none, none, none Model/Part Bin: Main Parent Part: etm sus
Model: FRANC VLANALITA RESULTS: 40- B.C. 1.410PMAL_MODE 40.DISPLACEMEN_40 NODE: 40 FREQ: 238.231 DISPLACEMENT - MAG MIN: 0.00E+00 MAX: 1.92E+02 FRAME OF REF: PART	VALUE OPTION:ACTUAL 1.92D+02 1.73D+02 1.54D+02 1.54D+02 1.15D+02 9.62D+01 7.70D+01 5.77D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01 1.92D+01

Include a Table of mass 6dof participation factors here

# 4 Script and Parameter Generated Geometry

The key parameters used were consistent with the values reported in the mode identification report<sup>9</sup> for the quadruple controls prototype. These parameters and the terminology used in the script are consistent with the pendulum naming conventions<sup>10</sup>.

Using the Ansys macro script in Appendix A, the suspension model shown in the following figure was created.



When pre-loaded and then the eigenvalue analysis is preformed, some of the modes seem reasonable, such as the one in the following figure (although in-plane blade spring compliance seems higher than actual).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> M. Barton, Quad Pendulum Conrols Prototype – Identification of Modes, LIGO-pending number, 3 Nov 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> M. Perreur-Lloyd, <u>Pendulum Parameter Descriptions and Naming Convention, LIGO-T040072-01</u>.



However some of the modes, such as the one in the following figure, have high bending modes in the blades at low frequencies, which is not realistic. Either there is a model error or the eigensolver is having difficulties.



5 Appendix: Ansys Macro to generate Quadruple Pendulum Suspension

2 ! etmsus.mac 4 ! macro to analyse natural frequencies of an ETM quad suspension 5! 6 !Dennis Coyne 28-nov-2005 7! 8 finish 9 /CLEAR, START 10 /COM, ANSYS MODEL OF A SINGLE ETM QUAD SUSPENSION CHAIN 11 / PREP7 12 /TITLE, ETM OUAD SUSPENSION 14 !\* GEOMETRIC PARAMETERS 16 ! values of parameters 17 ! SI units (m,N) 18 ! naming per T040072-01, plus extensions explained below 19 ! coordinate system per T040072-02 with origin at center of top blades 20 21 ! top blades (D040298) 22 lnb=0.480 23 anb=0.095 24 bnb=0.010 ! blade tip width 25 cnb=7.5\*3.14159/180 ! blade centerline angle to y-axis (rad) 26 hnb=0.00429 27 nn0=0.25 28 !\* 29 ! middle blades (D040297) 30 l1b=0.4207 31 alb=0.059 32 b1b=0.010 ! blade tip width 33 clb=7.5\*3.14159/180 ! blade centerline angle to y-axis (rad) 34 h1b=0.0046 35 n0=0.200 36 !\* 37 ! bottom blades (D040296) 38 l2b=0.370 39 a2b=0.049 40 b2b=0.010 ! blade tip width 41 c2b=7.5\*3.14159/180 ! blade centerline angle to y-axis (rad) 42 h2b=0.0042 43 n2=0.140 44 !\* 45 ! Top Wires 46 ! zwl is the height coordinate of the top wire attachment to the top mass 47 ! zcg1 is the height coordinate of the c.g. of the top mass 48 ! zbl is the height coordinate of the neutral axis of the middle blades 49 nn1=0.090 50 ln=0.450

51 rn=0.00052 52 dm=0.001 53 dn=0.001 54 zw1=-SQRT(ln\*\*2-(nn0-nn1)\*\*2) 55 zcg1=zw1-dm 56 zbl=zcgl-dn 57 !\* 58 ! Middle Wires 59 ! zw2 is the height coordinate of the middle wire attachment to the UI mass 60 ! zcq2 is the height coordinate of the c.q. of the UI mass 61 ! zb2 is the height coordinate of the neutral axis of the bottom blades 62 n1=0.060 63 11=0.3085 64 r1=0.00035 65 d0=0.001 66 d1=0.001 67 su=0.003 68 zw2=zb1-SQRT(l1\*\*2-(n0-n1)\*\*2) 69 zcg2=zw2-d0 70 zb2=zcg2-d1 71 !\* 72 ! Penultimate Wires 73 ! zw3 is the height coordinate upper (penultimate) wire attachment to the PM 74 ! zcg3 is the height coordinate of the c.g. of the PM 75 ! zb3 is the height coordinate of lower (TM) wire attachment to the PM 76 n3=0.1635 77 12=0.3400 78 r2=0.00031 79 d2=0.001 80 d3=0.001 81 si=0.003 82 zw3=zb2-SQRT(12\*\*2-(n2-n3)\*\*2) 83 zcg3=zw3-d2 84 zb3=zcg3-d3 85 !\* 86 ! Test Mass Wires/Ribbons 87 ! zw4 is the height coordinate TM wire attachment to the TM 88 ! zcg4 is the height coordinate of the c.g. of the TM 89 n4=0.1585 90 n5=0.1585 91 13=0.6020 92 r3=0.00022 93 d4=0.001 94 sl=0.015 95 zw4=zb3-SQRT(13\*\*2-(n4-n5)\*\*2) 96 zcg4=zw4-d4 97 99 1\* MASS PARAMETERS 

101 !\* N.B.: The moments of inertia below (Inx, Iny, Inz) are values without the blade springs included. 102 ! 103 ! Top Mass 104 mn=22.1 105 Inx=0.0670 106 Iny=0.4289 107 Inz=0.4309 108 !\* 109 ! UI Mass 110 m1=21.8 111 I1x=0.0581 112 Ily=0.5005 113 I1z=0.4988 114 !\* 115 ! PM  $116 m_{2} = 38.4$ 117 I2x=0.2765 118 I2y=0.2752 119 I2z=0.4704 120 !\* 121 ! TM 122 m3=39.61 123 I3x=0.2692 124 I3y=0.2679 125 I3z=0.4556 126 128 !\* MATERIAL PROPERTIES 130 maryoung=1.76e11 131 marpoiss=0.3 132 mardens=7800 133 wireyoung=2.0e11 134 wirepoiss=0.3 135 wiredens=7800 136 137 !MPTEMP,,,,,,,, 138 !MPTEMP,1,0 139 !MPDATA, EX, 1, , maryoung 140 !MPDATA, PRXY, 1,, marpoiss 141 !MPDATA, DENS, 1,, mardens 142 !MPTEMP,,,,,,,, 143 !MPTEMP,1,0 144 !MPDATA, EX, 2,, wireyoung 145 !MPDATA, PRXY, 2,, wirepoiss 146 !MPTEMP,,,,,,,, 147 !MPTEMP,1,0 148 !MPDATA, DENS, 2,, wiredens 149 MP, EX, 1, maryoung 150 MP, EY, 1, maryoung

151 MP, EZ, 1, maryoung 152 MP, PRXY, 1, marpoiss 153 MP, DENS, 1, mardens 154 MP, EX, 2, wireyoung 155 MP, EY, 2, wireyoung 156 MP, EZ, 2, wireyoung 157 MP, PRXY, 2, wirepoiss 158 MP, DENS, 2, wiredens 159 161 !\* GENERATE GEOMETRY 163 !\* BLADES 165 ! Top Blade, Right 166 K,1,0,nn0,0, 167 K, 2, -bnb\*cos(cnb)/2, nn0-bnb\*sin(cnb)/2, 0, 168 K, 3, bnb\*cos(cnb)/2, nn0+bnb\*sin(cnb)/2, 0, 169 K,4,lnb\*sin(cnb),nn0-lnb\*cos(cnb),0, 170 K,5,lnb\*sin(cnb)-anb\*cos(cnb)/2,nn0-lnb\*cos(cnb)-anb\*sin(cnb)/2,0, 171 K,6,lnb\*sin(cnb)+anb\*cos(cnb)/2,nn0-lnb\*cos(cnb)+anb\*sin(cnb)/2,0, 172 LSTR, 1, 4 ! line 1 173 LSTR, 4, 6 ! line 2 174 LSTR, 6, 3 ! line 3 175 LSTR, 3, 1 ! line 4 176 LSTR, 4, 5 ! line 5 177 LSTR, 5, 2 ! line 6 178 LSTR, 2, 1 ! line 7 179 AL,1,2,3,4 ! area 1 180 AL,1,5,6,7 ! area 2 181 !\* 182 ! Top Blade, Left 183 K,7,0,-nn0,0, 184 K, 8, bnb\*cos(cnb)/2, -nn0+bnb\*sin(cnb)/2, 0,185 K, 9, -bnb\*cos(cnb)/2, -nn0-bnb\*sin(cnb)/2, 0, 186 K,10,-lnb\*sin(cnb),-nn0+lnb\*cos(cnb),0, 187 K,11,-lnb\*sin(cnb)+anb\*cos(cnb)/2,-nn0+lnb\*cos(cnb)+anb\*sin(cnb)/2,0, 188 K,12,-lnb\*sin(cnb)-anb\*cos(cnb)/2,-nn0+lnb\*cos(cnb)-anb\*sin(cnb)/2,0, 189 LSTR, 7,10 ! line 8 190 LSTR,10,12 ! line 9 191 LSTR, 9,12 ! line 10 192 LSTR, 7, 9 ! line 11 193 LSTR,10,11 ! line 12 194 LSTR, 8,11 ! line 13 195 LSTR, 7, 8 ! line 14 196 AL,8,9,10,11 ! area 3 197 AL,8,12,13,14 ! area 4 198 !\* 199 ! Middle Blade, Right 200 K,13,0,n0,zb1,

```
201 K,14,-b1b*cos(c1b)/2,n0-b1b*sin(c1b)/2,zb1,
202 K,15,b1b*cos(c1b)/2,n0+b1b*sin(c1b)/2,zb1,
203 K,16,l1b*sin(c1b),n0-l1b*cos(c1b),zb1,
204 K,17,11b*sin(c1b)-a1b*cos(c1b)/2,n0-11b*cos(c1b)-a1b*sin(c1b)/2,zb1,
205 K,18,11b*sin(c1b)+a1b*cos(c1b)/2,n0-11b*cos(c1b)+a1b*sin(c1b)/2,zb1,
206 LSTR, 13,16 ! line 15
207 LSTR, 16,18 ! line 16
208 LSTR, 18,15 ! line 17
209 LSTR, 15,13 ! line 18
210 LSTR, 16,17 ! line 19
211 LSTR, 17,14 ! line 20
212 LSTR, 14,13 ! line 21
213 AL, 15, 16, 17, 18 ! area 5
214 AL,15,19,20,21 ! area 6
215 !*
216 ! Middle Blade, Left
217 K,19,0,-n0,zb1,
218 K,20,b1b*cos(c1b)/2,-n0+b1b*sin(c1b)/2,zb1,
219 K,21,-b1b*cos(c1b)/2,-n0-b1b*sin(c1b)/2,zb1,
220 K,22,-l1b*sin(c1b),-n0+l1b*cos(c1b),zb1,
221 K,23,-llb*sin(clb)+alb*cos(clb)/2,-n0+llb*cos(clb)+alb*sin(clb)/2,zbl,
222 K,24,-l1b*sin(c1b)-a1b*cos(c1b)/2,-n0+l1b*cos(c1b)-a1b*sin(c1b)/2,zb1,
223 LSTR, 19,22 ! line 22
224 LSTR, 22,24 ! line 23
225 LSTR, 21,24 ! line 24
226 LSTR, 19,21 ! line 25
227 LSTR, 22,23 ! line 26
228 LSTR, 20,23 ! line 27
229 LSTR, 19,20 ! line 28
230 AL,22,23,24,25 ! area 7
231 AL,22,26,27,28 ! area 8
232 !*
233 ! Bottom Blade, Right
234 K,25,0,n2,zb2,
235 K,26,-b2b*cos(c2b)/2,n2-b2b*sin(c2b)/2,zb2,
236 K,27,b2b*cos(c2b)/2,n2+b2b*sin(c2b)/2,zb2,
237 K,28,12b*sin(c2b),n2-12b*cos(c2b),zb2,
238 K,29,12b*sin(c2b)-a2b*cos(c2b)/2,n2-12b*cos(c2b)-a2b*sin(c2b)/2,zb2,
239 K,30,12b*sin(c2b)+a2b*cos(c2b)/2,n2-12b*cos(c2b)+a2b*sin(c2b)/2,zb2,
240 LSTR, 25,28 ! line 29
241 LSTR, 28,30 ! line 30
242 LSTR, 30,27 ! line 31
243 LSTR, 27,25 ! line 32
244 LSTR, 28,29 ! line 33
245 LSTR, 29,26 ! line 34
246 LSTR, 26,25 ! line 35
247 AL,29,30,31,32 ! area 9
248 AL,29,33,34,35 ! area 10
249 !*
250 ! Bottom Blade, Left
```

```
251 K, 31, 0, -n2, zb2,
252 K,32,b2b*cos(c2b)/2,-n2+b2b*sin(c2b)/2,zb2,
253 K,33,-b2b*cos(c2b)/2,-n2-b2b*sin(c2b)/2,zb2,
254 K,34,-l2b*sin(c2b),-n2+l2b*cos(c2b),zb2,
255 K,35,-l2b*sin(c2b)+a2b*cos(c2b)/2,-n2+l2b*cos(c2b)+a2b*sin(c2b)/2,zb2,
256 K,36,-l2b*sin(c2b)-a2b*cos(c2b)/2,-n2+l2b*cos(c2b)-a2b*sin(c2b)/2,zb2,
257 LSTR, 31,34 ! line 36
258 LSTR, 34,36 ! line 37
259 LSTR, 33,36 ! line 38
260 LSTR, 31,33 ! line 39
261 LSTR, 34,35 ! line 40
262 LSTR, 32,35 ! line 41
263 LSTR, 31,32 ! line 42
264 AL, 36, 37, 38, 39 ! area 11
265 AL, 36, 40, 41, 42 ! area 12
267 !*
               MASSES
269 !*
270 ! Top Mass
271 K,100,0,0,zcg1,
272 K,101,0,nn1,zw1,
273 K,102,0,-nn1,zw1,
274 K,103,su,n0,zb1,
275 K,104,-su,n0,zb1,
276 K,105,su,-n0,zb1,
277 K,106,-su,-n0,zb1,
278
279 !*
280 ! Upper Intermediate (UI) Mass
281 K,200,0,0,zcg2,
282 K,201,su,n1,zw2,
283 K,202,-su,n1,zw2,
284 K,203,su,-n1,zw2,
285 K,204,-su,-n1,zw2,
286 K,205,si,n2,zb2,
287 K,206,-si,n2,zb2,
288 K,207,si,-n2,zb2,
289 K,208,-si,-n2,zb2,
290
291 !*
292 ! Penultimate Mass (PM)
293 K, 300, 0, 0, zcq3,
294 K,301,si,n3,zw3,
295 K,302,-si,n3,zw3,
296 K,303,si,-n3,zw3,
297 K,304,-si,-n3,zw3,
298 K,305,sl,n4,zb3,
299 K,306,-sl,n4,zb3,
300 K,307,sl,-n4,zb3,
```

```
301 K,308,-sl,-n4,zb3,
302
303 !*
304 ! Test Mass (TM)
305 K,400,0,0,zcg4,
306 K,401,sl,n5,zw4,
307 K,402,-sl,n5,zw4,
308 K,403,sl,-n5,zw4,
309 K,404,-sl,-n5,zw4,
310
312 !*
             WIRES
314 !*
315 ! Top Wires
                ! line 43
316 LSTR,1,101
317 LSTR,7,102
               ! line 44
318 !*
319 ! UI Wires
320 LSTR,103,201
               ! line 45
321 LSTR,104,202
               ! line 46
               ! line 47
322 LSTR,105,203
323 LSTR,106,204
               ! line 48
324 !*
325 ! PM Wires
326 LSTR,205,301
               ! line 49
327 LSTR, 206, 302
               ! line 50
328 LSTR, 207, 303
               ! line 51
329 LSTR, 208, 304
               ! line 52
330 !*
331 ! TM Wires
332 LSTR, 305, 401
               ! line 53
               ! line 54
333 LSTR, 306, 402
334 LSTR, 307, 403
               ! line 55
335 LSTR, 308, 404
               ! line 56
336
338 !*
             RIGID LINKS
340 !*
341 ! Top Mass
342 LSTR,100,101
               ! line 57, top wire 1
343 LSTR,100,102
               ! LINE 58, top wire 2
               ! LINE 59, middle blade to wire 1
344 LSTR, 15,103
345 LSTR, 14,104
               ! LINE 60, middle blade to wire 2
346 LSTR, 20,105
               ! LINE 61, middle blade to wire 3
347 LSTR, 21,106
               ! LINE 62, middle blade to wire 4
348 LSTR,100, 16
               ! LINE 63, c.g. to blade root 1
```

! LINE 64, c.g. to blade root 2

! LINE 65, c.g. to blade root 3

349 LSTR,100, 17
350 LSTR,100, 18

```
351 LSTR,100, 22
               ! LINE 66, c.g. to blade root 4
352 LSTR,100, 23
               ! LINE 67, c.g. to blade root 5
353 LSTR,100, 24
               ! LINE 68, c.q. to blade root 6
354 !*
355 ! UI Mass
356 LSTR,200,201
                ! line 69, UI wire 1
357 LSTR,200,202
               ! LINE 70, UI wire 2
               ! LINE 71, UI wire 3
358 LSTR, 200, 203
               ! LINE 72, UI wire 4
359 LSTR,200,204
360 LSTR, 27,205
                ! LINE 73, bottom blade to wire 1
361 LSTR, 26,206
               ! LINE 74, bottom blade to wire 2
362 LSTR, 32,207
                ! LINE 75, bottom blade to wire 3
363 LSTR, 33,208
               ! LINE 76, bottom blade to wire 4
364 LSTR,200, 28
                ! LINE 77, c.q. to blade root 1
365 LSTR,200, 29
               ! LINE 78, c.g. to blade root 2
366 LSTR,200, 30
               ! LINE 79, c.g. to blade root 3
367 LSTR,200, 34
               ! LINE 80, c.g. to blade root 4
368 LSTR,200, 35
                ! LINE 81, c.g. to blade root 5
369 LSTR,200, 36
               ! LINE 82, c.g. to blade root 6
370 !*
371 ! PM
372 LSTR, 300, 301
                ! LINE 83, c.g. to PM wire 1
373 LSTR, 300, 302
               ! LINE 84, c.g. to PM wire 2
               ! LINE 85, c.q. to PM wire 3
374 LSTR, 300, 303
375 LSTR, 300, 304
               ! LINE 86, c.g. to PM wire 4
               ! LINE 87, c.g. to TM wire 1
376 LSTR, 300, 305
               ! LINE 88, c.g. to TM wire 2
377 LSTR, 300, 306
378 LSTR, 300, 307
               ! LINE 89, c.g. to TM wire 3
               ! LINE 90, c.q. to TM wire 4
379 LSTR, 300, 308
380 !*
381 ! TM
382 LSTR,400,401
               ! LINE 91, c.g. to TM wire 1
               ! LINE 92, c.g. to TM wire 2
383 LSTR,400,402
384 LSTR,400,403
               ! LINE 93, c.g. to TM wire 3
               ! LINE 94, c.g. to TM wire 4
385 LSTR,400,404
386 !*
387 ! Plot Areas
388 aplot
389
391 !*
         MESH GEOMETRY
393 !*
395 1
              Blade Springs
397 !ET,1,SHELL63,0,0,1,,0,0
398 !ET,1,SHELL43,,,2
399 !ET,1,SHELL181,1
400 !ET,1,SHELL93
```

402 TYPE,1 ! shell elements 403 MAT,1 ! maraging steel 404 ! Top Blades 405 R,1,hnb !for the blade 406 REAL,1 407 ESIZE, anb/4,0 408 amesh,1,4 409 ! Middle Blades 410 R,2,h1b !for the blade 411 REAL,2 412 ESIZE, a1b/4,0 413 amesh, 5, 8 414 ! Bottom Blades 415 R,3,h2b !for the blade 416 REAL,3 417 ESIZE, a2b/4,0 418 amesh,9,12 419 421 ! Suspension Masses 423 ET,2,MASS21,0,0,0 424 TYPE,2 ! discrete mass elements 425 ! Top Mass 426 R,4,mn,mn,mn,Inx,Iny,Inz 427 REAL,4 428 KMESH,100 429 ! UI Mass 430 R,5,m1,m1,m1,I1x,I1y,I1z 431 REAL,5 432 KMESH,200 433 ! PM 434 R,6,m2,m2,m2,I2x,I2y,I2z 435 REAL,6 436 KMESH, 300 TM 437 ! 438 R,7,m3,m3,m3,I3x,I3y,I3z 439 REAL,7 440 KMESH,400 441 442 ! Wire Clamps 443 !R,8,mc,mc,mc,Icx,Icy,Icz 444 !REAL,8 445 !kmesh,? 446 448 ! Wires 449 !\* 450 ET, 3, BEAM4, , 0, , , , 0

401 ET,1,SHELL63

```
10 of 11
```

```
451 TYPE,3
           ! beam elements
452 MAT,2
453 !
        Top Wires
454 wAn=3.14159*rn**2
455 wIn=(3.14159*rn**4)/4
456 wJn=(3.14159*rn**4)/2
457 R,9,wAn,wIn,wIn,rn,rn,0
458 RMORE, 0, wJn
459 REAL,9
460 ESIZE,0.005
461 LMESH, 43, 44
462 ! UI Wires
463 wA1=3.14159*r1**2
464 wI1=(3.14159*r1**4)/4
465 wJ1=(3.14159*r1**4)/2
466 R,10,wA1,wI1,wI1,r1,r1,0
467 RMORE, 0, wJ1
468 REAL,10
469 ESIZE,0.005
470 LMESH, 45, 48
471 !
       PM Wires
472 wA2=3.14159*r2**2
473 wI2=(3.14159*r2**4)/4
474 wJ2=(3.14159*r2**4)/2
475 R,11,wA2,wI2,wI2,r2,r2,0
476 RMORE,0,wJ2
477 REAL,11
478 ESIZE,0.005
479 LMESH, 49, 52
480 !
        TM Wires
481 wA3=3.14159*r3**2
482 wI3=(3.14159*r3**4)/4
483 wJ3=(3.14159*r3**4)/2
484 R,12,wA3,wI3,wI3,r3,r3,0
485 RMORE, 0, wJ3
486 REAL,12
487 ESIZE,0.005
488 LMESH, 53, 56
489
491 !
              Rigid Links
493 ET,4,MPC184,1,0
494 TYPE,4
             ! rigid body constraint elements
495 ESIZE,,1
496 LMESH, 57, 94
497
498 NUMMRG, NODE, 0.00001
499
```

501 !\* BOUNDARY CONDITIONS 503 !\* 504 ! Clamp the upper blade roots 505 DL, 2, ,ALL,0 506 DL, 5, ,ALL,0 507 DL, 9, ,ALL,0 508 DL,12, ,ALL,0 509 EPLOT 510 511 FINISH 512 514 ! Static Preload Analysis 516 /SOL 517 ANTYPE, STATIC 518 PSTRES, ON 519 G=9.84 520 ACEL,0,0,G 521 SOLVE 522 FINISH 523 !/POST1 524 !PLDIST,0 525 !FINISH 527 ! Eigenvalue Analysis 529 /SOL 530 ANTYPE, MODAL 531 MSAVE,0 532 !\* 533 MODOPT, LANB, 60 534 EQSLV, JCG 535 !MXPAND,0, , ,0 536 LUMPM, OFF 537 PSTRES, ON 538 !\* 539 /STATUS, SOLU 540 SOLVE 541 FINISH 542 / POST1 543 /output, etmsus, out,, 544 !\*Status,argx 545 SET,LIST 546 /output 547 \*get, freq1, mode, 1, freq 548 \*get, freq2, mode, 2, freq 549 \*get, freq3, mode, 3, freq