

# **GRAVITATIONAL WAVES from SGR BURSTS**

Peter Kalmus (Caltech) for the LIGO, Virgo, and GEO Scientific Collaborations



# SGRs & AXPs: Magnetars

Soft gamma repeaters (SGRs) and anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs) sporadically emit short bursts of soft yrays with peak luminosities commonly up to 1042 erg/s [1]. Rare giant flare events, 103-104 times brighter, are among the most luminous events in the Universe [1]. 3 of 5 known galactic SGRs have each produced a giant flare.



SGRs are promising gravitational wave (GW) sources. In the "magnetar" model SGRs are neutron stars (NS) with exceptionally strong magnetic fields, 10<sup>15</sup> G [2]. SGR bursts may result from the interaction of the field with the solid NS crust, leading to crustal deformations and catastrophic cracking [3] with potential excitation of the star's nonradial GW-damped fmodes [4].

## **The Searches**

EM burst trigger times provided by IPN satellites Analysis performed with Flare pipeline [5,6] We target three frequency bands in GW data:

1 to 3 kHz where f-modes live 100 to 200 Hz max detector sensitivity 100 to 1000 Hz for full coverage

We use ±2 s signal regions in GW data. This assumes GW burst occurs within ±0.5 s of EM burst. Loudest signal-region events are compared to background to estimate detection significance.

We set loudest event upper limits on (isotropic) GW energy at 90% detection efficiency using: circularly and linearly polarized ringdowns (RDC, RDL) in 1 to 3 kHz region;

white noise burst (WNB) waveforms at low frequencies.



Predicted f-mode time constants and frequencies. for a variety of NS masses and equations of state, from [7]. Figure from [8].

### The GW Interferometers

# LIGO Hanford, Washington LIGO Livingston, Louisiana

LEFT: LIGO had two GW detectors in Washington with baselines of 4 km and 2 km and one 4 km detector in Louisiana; the 2 km detector was recently decommissioned in preparation for Advanced LIGO. Virgo has a 3 km detector near Pisa. GEO has a 600 m detector in Germany.

BELOW RIGHT: GEO and LIGO 2 km noise curves.





# First Search: Giant Flare + 189 small flares

BDL 200ms 2590Hz

RDL 200ms 2090Hz

RDL 200ms 1590Hz

RDL 200ms 1090Hz

RDC 200ms 2590Hz

RDC 200ms 2090Hz

RDC 200ms 1590Hz

RDC 200ms 1090Hz

47

46

10<sup>5.</sup>

10<sup>5</sup>

10<sup>5</sup>

E 1048

<sup>8</sup>0<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>48</sup>

10<sup>47</sup>

1046

10<sup>45</sup>

500

48

•••

0

WNB 11ms 100-200Hz

WNB 100ms 100-200Hz

WNB 100ms 100-1000H

im Π

0

51

49 50 log<sub>10</sub> E<sup>90%</sup> [erg]

ŏ

frequency [Hz]

S5y1 results fluence\_weighted

N=11 flat model

1000 1500 2000 2500 3000

WNB 11ms 100-1000Hz

#### Abbott et al. PRL 101, 211102 (2008) No detection.

EGW upper limits shown at right (10 kpc nominal distance, isotropic) 190 bursts from SGRs 1900+14 and 1806-20 (1<sup>st</sup> year of LIGO's 5<sup>th</sup> science run, or "S5y1")

Includes pre-S5 2004 SGR 180 (red circles) and "GRB" 060806 from SGR 1806 (diamonds)

Best f-mode upper limit of 2x1048 erg Best f-mode upper limit on  $y \equiv E_{cm}$ 2x10<sup>4</sup> (giant flare).



### Abbott et al. ApJ 701, L68-L74 (2009) No detection.

Storm was analyzed as single event in first search.

GW data near individual EM bursts were stacked (time-aligned) according to rising edges of EM bursts. Two stackings were used: 1) the 11 most EM-energetic bursts; 2) all bursts weighted according to EM-energy.

We assume variation in delay between GW and EM emission is small compared to GW burst signal duration.

Stacking gave 12x sensitivity gain. Best f-mode upper limit of 1048 erg



# Ongoing: Recent bursts including nearby SGR 0501+4516

Bursts from 6 magnetars, during the 2<sup>nd</sup> year of LIGO's 5<sup>th</sup> science run (S5y2) and Astrowatch commissioning period (A5). SGR 0501+4516 (discovered 2009) is likely 800 pc from Earth [9]. We thus expect E<sub>GW</sub> lin its at least 10x lower than before.



ABOVE: Antenna geometry during SGR 0501 bursts was more favorable for GEO than for LIGO 2 km.



# **GW Emission Models**

Little has been said about GW emission from magnetars. In loka's model [10] which may be the most detailed:

 $\gamma \equiv E_{GW} / E_{EM} = 10^4$  not unreasonable E<sub>GW</sub>=10<sup>49</sup> erg not unreasonable Our upper limits begin to enter this region.

We expect new predictions on GW amplitudes in 2010. Two of us (P. Kalmus and C. Ott) are using numerical GR models to do so.

# **Future Searches**

Advanced LIGO is expected to give an addition 100x in energy sensitivity beginning in 2015.

f-mode upper limits from 800 pc could then be as low as 1044 erg. Will it be enough for detection?



SGR @ 250 pc or less stacking SGR 0501 bursts clever new methods

 W. G. H. Lewin and M. van der Klis (Cambridge University, Cambridge, 2004).
 R. C. Duncan and C. Thompson, Astrophys. J. Lett. 392, L9 (1992).
 C. Thompson and R. C. Duncan, Mon. Not. R. Astron.Soc. 275, 255 (1995). N. Andersson and K. D. Kokkotas, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 299, 1059 (1998).
 P. Kalmus et al., Class. Quant. Grav. 24, S659 (2007)

1. P. M. Woods and C. Thompson, in Compact Stellar X-Ray Sources, edited by

- P. Kalmus, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University, 2008. arXiv:0904.4394.
  O. Benhar, V. Ferrari, and L. Gualtieri, Phys. Rev. D 70,124015 (2004)
- 8. J. Clark et al. Phys. Rev. D 76, 043003 (2007).

LEFT: Magnetar bursts 2004 thru 2009. Red

diamond is giant flare; green stars are storms.

References

Leahy and Tian A&A 461, 1013–1018 (2007)
 K. loka, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 327, 639 (2001).