The 0.1 – few KHz GW Window: Studying the Physics and Dynamics of Matter at Extreme Densities and Energies

Christian David Ott

cott@tapir.caltech.edu

TAPIR, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA& Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark

In collaboration with and inspired by

Benjamin J. Owen and Richard O'Shaughnessy

Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA

G0900620-v1

Overview:

- 25 Minutes to convince you to invest in high-f sensitivity -

-> Constraining unknown Astrophysics:

- Massive Star Collapse: Formation of NSs and BHs;
 Core-Collapse Supernovae & long-soft Gamma-Ray Bursts
- Binary mergers and the progenitors of short-hard GRBs.
- Internal structure and composition of NSs.

-> Constraining unknown Nuclear / Elementary Particle Physics:

• Equation of state (EOS), composition, and structural properties of hot and cold nuclear matter.



G0900620-v1

C. D. Ott @ GWADW 2009







- Energy reservoir: few x 10⁵³ erg (100 [B]ethe)
- Explosion energy: ~1 B

- Time frame for explosion:
 ~0.3 1.5 s after bounce.
- BH formation at baryonic PNS mass \geq 1.8 2.5 M_{SUN}.

The Supernova Problem:

What is the mechanism of shock revival?

G0900620-v1

C. D. Ott @ GWADW 2009



SN Mechanisms and Their Multi-D Features









Model GW Signals from Core-Collapse Supernovae



Characteristic Strain Spectra at 10 kpc



Core-Collapse Supernova Rates



Characteristic Strain Spectra at 5 Mpc: ~0.5 CC-SNe / year



Summary I: SN Physics with GWs

 Baade & Zwicky 1934: SN powered by liberation of gravitational energy in collapse of a massive star's core to a neutron star.



- Theoretical efforts every since -> multiple proposed (more or less viable) mediating explosion mechanisms.
- **Only** GWs and neutrinos can carry direct information from SN engine.
- GW signatures of various mechanisms are distinct -> use GWs to constrain the explosion mechanism.

Failing CCSNe & BH Formation

- 1) There is no direct/prompt BH formation.
- 2) Route 1 to a BH: Collapsar Type I [Heger et al. 2003]
 - Explosion fails.
- No EM signal, only GWs and neutrinos.
- BH forms on accretion timescale. τ_{BH} determined by (1) Stiffness of the nuclear EOS.
 (2) Accretion rate
 - <- progenitor structure.

3) Route 2 to a BH: Collapsar Type II

 Weak explosion, subsequent fall-back accretion. [Zhang & Woosley 2008]



Probing BH Formation with GWs Nonspherical collapse of a (Proto-)NS to a BH



• Emission dominated by BH QNM as BH rings down to Kerr. $f_{200} = 14.4 \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} (1 - 0.165(1 - j)^{0.355}) \text{ kHz}$, $M_{NS} = 2 M_{SUN} \rightarrow f_{QNM} \sim 6 \text{ KHz}$; $f_{220} = 49.4 \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} (1 - 0.759(1 - j)^{0.1292}) \text{ kHz}$, Gogooc20-VI

Gamma-Ray Bursts



• (At least) 2 classes of GRBs: Short hard $(T_{90} < 2 s)$, long soft $(T_{90} > 2s)$

Long-Soft Gamma-Ray Bursts

- **Highly-beamed EM emission**, most likely aligned with axis of rotation. Energies comparable to SN explosion.
- Ultimate source of energy: Gravitational collapse. Mediators: Rapid rotation & MHD effects
- ~1% of massive stars sufficiently rapidly rotating to make a long-soft GRB. (But: Not all can make GRBs, not all GRBs pointed towards us.)
 - -> GRBs extremely rare in the local universe; closest GRB at ~40 Mpc.
- Variety of theoretical long-soft GRB models; some that are favored:
 - Collapsar type I (no SN explosion; star blown up by GRB)
 - MHD Hypernova + Collapsar (explosion before BH)
 - MHD Hypernova + Millisecond Magnetar
- GW emission: Same overall characteristics as in core-collapse SNe.
 - Collapsar vs. Magnetar smoking gun: BH formation signal and/or shut-off of signal from NS dynamics before/during GRB electromagnetic emission.

G0900620-v1



Short-Hard GRBs

- Energetics: $10^{48} 10^{50}$ erg, need BH with ~0.01 M_{SUN} disk.
- Powered by rotation + MHD and/or neutrino pair annihilation (but: inefficient [Dessart, Ott et al. 2009])
- Formation scenarios:
 - Coalescence & Merger of NS – NS system.
 Formation of hypermassive NS (may survive for many dynamical times)
 - Coalescence of BH NS system.
 Required: Tidal disruption of NS to have sufficient disk material.



NS-NS Coalescence and Merger

[Jocelyn Read, UWM/AEI]

at 100 Mpc



- Finite size effects: Tidal deformation, effect on phase of GW signal. [Flanagan & Hinderer '08]
- Merger: GW burst from final plunge, HMNS dynamics, BH formation: f ~ few KHz.
- Tidal deformation and "survival time" of HMNS constrain nuclear EOS.

BH-NS Merger



- As NS-NS: Finite-size effects in late inspiral.
- Tidal disruption of NS necessary for disk formation; likely to happen for $M_{BH} < 4 M_{SUN}$; $M_{NS} \sim 1.3-1.6 M_{SUN}$.
- NS structure / EOS encoded in GW sfgffaffequency of disruption. f ~ few KHz.

Neutron Star Structure



Studying Neutron Stars with GWs

"Continuous" GWs: "Mountains" on NSs and r-modes

$$\epsilon = \frac{I_{xx} - I_{yy}}{I_{zz}} \quad h_0 = \frac{(2\pi f)^2}{D} I_{zz} \epsilon \quad f = \frac{2}{P_{\rm NS}}$$

- Ellipticity ∈ ∞ (breaking strain) x (shear modulus) x (geometry)
 -> set by NS crust & core physics: Normal NS: ε ~ 10⁻⁶, Quark NS: ε ~ 10⁻³
- Mountain formation: Accretion (LMXBs), magnetic mountains
- r-modes; limited by NS viscosity. Present in very young NSs (?)
- Strongest emitters: Most rapidly spinning NSs -> $f_{\rm GW} \sim 0.3 2$ KHz.



5.0

4.0

3.0

f(kHz)

Summary

- Beyond the First Detection -> Gravitational Wave Astronomy
- Potential to answer pressing astrophysical questions with GWs:
 Mechanisms of Core-Collapse Supernovae
 - Mechanisms and Progenitors of Long-Soft and Short-Hard GRBs.
- Characteristic GW frequencies: few 100 Hz few 1000 Hz
 Set by dynamical timescales/rotation frequencies of emitting systems
- Additional major pay-off beyond Astrophysics: Constrain nuclear physics at high density and energy

G0900620-v1

C. D. Ott @ GWADW 2009