

# Estimating the parameters of coalescing black hole binaries

(non-spinning) using ground-based detectors

#### Sukanta Bose Washington State University, Pullman

In collaboration with P. Ajith (Caltech/AEI)

Based on a paper by Ajith, SB, Phys. Rev. D 79, 084032 (2009), **arXiv:0901.4936.** (Supported in part by NSF grants PHY-0239735 & PHY-0758172)

Bose, APS-Denver, 2008/05/04

LIGO-G0900428-v1



## Coalescing binary signals in earth-based detectors



AdLIGO can detect these sources with a total mass of up to several hundred solar masses.

Bose, APS-Denver, 2008/05/04

[Figure: Courtesy of Kip Thorne]



## NR-based hybrid waveforms



[P. Ajith et al, PRD '08]



# Astrophysical reach

#### $\lambda$ Effects of waveform extensions (data analysis):

- » Better template match,
  - Lower false-alarms / background,
    - $\lambda$  Greater signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).
- λ Event rates & source "variety": Both will increase
- $\lambda$  Parameter estimation:
  - » Can we determine both component masses?
  - » Any improvements in measuring sky-localization, wave polarization, and distance?
- λ Provide impetus for other studies: Do IMBHs really exist? Can such binaries have electromagnetic counterparts?



# Rate estimates

- $\lambda$  Radio observations confirm existence of neutron star binaries:
  - » Hulse-Taylor pulsar
  - » J0737-3039 (both neutron stars are visible as pulsars)
- λ Stellar population modelers estimate an upper bound on BNS
   rates of ~ 1 in a few to several years @ LIGO-I sensitivity
- $\lambda$  Rates for black hole binary coalescences much more uncertain
  - » Population synthesis studies suggest a likely rate of around 0.01 / 0.1 / 30 per year in LIGO-I / eLIGO / AdLIGO for stellar mass BBHs [O'Shaughnessy et al., Astrophys. J. 633, 1076 (2005), astro-ph/0504479]
  - » IMBH binaries: the plausible rates for LIGOI / AdLIGO detectors are 10-4 / 0.1 per year [J. M. Fregeau et al., ibid. 646, L135 (2006), astro-ph/0605732]
  - » Stellar-mass BHs merging with IMBHs (the so called intermediate-mass-ratio inspirals): plausible event rates for LIGOI / AdLIGO are 10-3 / 10 per year [ I. Mandel et al., arXiv:0705.0285.]



## Noise PSDs & SNRs



Bose, APS-Denver, 2008/05/04

The source effective distance is taken to be 100Mpc, except for AdLIGO and AdVirgo, where it is taken as 1Gpc.



# Single IFO (AdLIGO) parameter accuracies

(effective distance fixed at 1Gpc)





### Single IFO (AdLIGO) parameter accuracies (SNR fixed to 10)

























The overlap function obtained by running **a** template bank across twelve different target (simulated) signals.

DUSU, AI D'DUIIVUI, 2000/03/04



#### Comparing Fisher calculations with Monte Carlo simulations (SNR = 10)





SNR →



#### Network observations: SNR in an AdLIGO-AdLIGO-AdVirgo network (50-50 Msun @ 1Gpc, orientation-averaged)





Sky-position accuracy in an AdLIGO-AdLIGO-AdVirgo network (50-50 Msun @ 1Gpc, orientation-averaged)





#### Distance accuracy in an AdLIGO-AdLIGO-AdVirgo network (50-50 Msun @ 1Gpc, orientation-averaged)





# Sky-position: Multi-IFO (H1-L1-V1) accuracy (source @ 1Gpc; observed in advanced detectors)

Inspiral-only accuracies shown in black.

Complete-waveform accuracies shown in red

Top row: A 10-10 Msun system →







# Sky-position: Multi-IFO (H1-L1-V1) accuracy (source @ 1Gpc; observed in advanced detectors)

Inspiral-only accuracies shown in black.

Complete-waveform accuracies shown in red.

Top row: A 10-10 Msun system →







### Summary of parameter accuracies

(in advanced detectors)

#### Single detector estimates:

	SNR=10		$d_{eff} = 1  Gpc$	
$M/M_{ m Sun}$	М	$\eta$	М	$\eta$
20	1.38%	2.58%	0.68%	1.2%
100	0.14%	0.26%	0.22%	0.81%

#### AdLIGO-AdLIGO-AdVirgo estimates:

	SNR=10		$d_{L} = 1  \text{Gpc}$	
$M/M_{ m Sun}$	$\Delta \Omega$	$\Delta d_{_{ m L}}/d_{_{ m L}}$	$\Delta \Omega$	$\Delta d_{_{ m L}}/d_{_{ m L}}$
20	0.78	55.7%	0.70	43.2%
100	0.55	111%	0.13	23.0%