

*LIGO Laboratory / LIGO Scientific Collaboration*

LIGO-Q0900001

*Advanced LIGO*

April 15th, 2009

---

**Advanced LIGO Supplier Quality Requirements**

---

Mick Flanigan, Rod Luna, William Tyler

Distribution of this document:  
LIGO Scientific Collaboration

This is an internal working note  
of the LIGO Laboratory.

**California Institute of Technology**  
**LIGO Project – MS 18-34**  
**1200 E. California Blvd.**  
**Pasadena, CA 91125**  
Phone (626) 395-2129  
Fax (626) 304-9834  
E-mail: info@ligo.caltech.edu

**Massachusetts Institute of Technology**  
**LIGO Project – NW22-295**  
**185 Albany St**  
**Cambridge, MA 02139**  
Phone (617) 253-4824  
Fax (617) 253-7014  
E-mail: info@ligo.mit.edu

**LIGO Hanford Observatory**  
**P.O. Box 1970**  
**Mail Stop S9-02**  
**Richland WA 99352**  
Phone 509-372-8106  
Fax 509-372-8137

**LIGO Livingston Observatory**  
**P.O. Box 940**  
**Livingston, LA 70754**  
Phone 225-686-3100  
Fax 225-686-7189

<http://www.ligo.caltech.edu/>

## Revision History

Revision LTR	Released By	Pages Affected	Date	DCN Number
00	Mick Flanigan	All	04/15/09	N/A

### Advanced LIGO QA Officer:

**Mick Flanigan**  
**LIGO Hanford Observatory**  
P.O. Box 1970  
Mail Stop S9-02  
Richland WA 99352  
Phone 509-372-8175  
Mobile: 503-701-6346  
Fax 509-372-8137

E-mail: [Flanigan\\_m@ligo-wa.caltech.edu](mailto:Flanigan_m@ligo-wa.caltech.edu)

## **Supplier Quality Assurance Review: Definitions**

*The following document outlines the definitions of required QA focus areas by a supplier. Please refer to this document for definitions of the focus areas included in the RFQ from LIGO. Areas of focus are individually checked on the RFQ, not all areas are applicable to every procurement activity.*

### **1. Required Supplier Quality Assurance Processes**

#### **1.1. Design Verification**

At appropriate stages of the design process, design verification shall be performed to ensure that the proposed design meets the requirements (see M0500220). This will normally be accomplished through the Design Review process. However, additional design verifications may be instituted where critical elements are involved, or where a potential for errors may have significant impact to functional performance, cost or schedule. In particular if a supplier/contractor/vendor is tasked with design effort, LIGO must plan to review the delivered design before authorization to fabricate.

#### **1.2. Raw Material Procurement**

Suppliers of raw materials shall provide certifications and country of origin indicating that materials being provided are in compliance with requirements specified in the procurement documents. Reports of chemical and physical tests are required for critical usage materials to verify conformance to applicable specifications and drawings.

#### **1.3. Traceability of Materials**

Materials considered critical for LIGO observatories' successful operations, or used in the vacuum system, shall require identification and country of origin by lot, batch or production run. Materials process records shall be delivered by the supplier and retained in the LIGO Documentation Control Center. Questions regarding traceability requirements for specific items should be directed to the LIGO Quality Assurance Officer.

#### **1.4. Calibration Program**

The supplier shall maintain a calibration program of all instruments and tools requiring calibration. Schedules of calibration shall be in accordance with the instrument or tool manufacturers' recommendations. Labels on the instrumentation and tools or their cases shall be in plain view, and have a calibration record referenced to a report on file with the supplier, as well as a date of performed calibration, due date for next cycle, as well as a signature and disposition of calibration (pass or fail). All instruments that have failed calibration will be required to have on file a document showing repair, repair facility, reason for out of spec, and recalibration report showing unit has passed. Out of calibration is defined as a device that is not only out of spec on measurement accuracy, but also one that may function properly but has not sustained an up to date calibration certification.

#### **1.5. Critical Interfaces**

A Critical Interface is defined as that particular junction between systems or components which have a specific design characteristic and/or requirement, which are critical to the proper function of the overall LIGO system. If these design requirements or characteristics are not met then there could be an increased likelihood of improper functioning, system failure, and/or damage to itself and/or other attached systems or components. It is the responsibility of the LIGO teams to identify and call out all critical interfaces in the design documents and drawings, and to ensure that appropriate standards of inspection and testing are applied to the systems at these interfaces.

The supplier shall perform levels of inspection as defined by the LIGO teams during the manufacturing and assembly process, including verification to design specifications and (if appropriate) interface tests for fit, function and/or performance. Development and performance of the test plan will be in accordance with the negotiated contract, and the final test plan will be reviewed by the supplier and the LIGO team(s). The supplier shall provide LIGO teams with the results from all tested critical interfaces, and the LIGO team(s) shall work with the supplier to assess the results and review discrepancies and proposed solutions.

## **1.6. Cleanliness**

All components are to meet cleanliness standards as outlined in the technical documents provided to the vendor. Cleanliness and contamination control will be particularly sensitive with systems and components installed in the vacuum chambers. As part of the bid package, all suppliers of in-vacuum components must include detailed plans for achieving and maintaining cleanliness of manufactured items during the manufacturing process, through the final cleaning process, as well as processes to maintain this state during transport and storage.

## **1.7. Packaging**

Guidelines shall be developed for packaging to provide sufficient protection for LIGO equipment from the point of manufacture to the delivery at the LIGO observatory sites. Suppliers must ensure that all packaging will meet requirements as defined in the RFP or RFQ, and any deficiencies are reported immediately to LIGO representatives. This includes packaging to protect against environmental, shock, transport noise and vibration, as well as protection from ESD and contamination as required in the negotiated contract or PO. LIGO staff will inspect all packaging upon arrival at a LIGO facility for damage and deficiencies. Note that components and assemblies which have been cleaned for in-vacuum service have special packaging requirements as defined in E960022. All packaged items shall have appropriate labels attached to properly identify the following

- Destination Site
- LIGO Subsystem team
- Boldly identify components as clean or environmentally sensitive to prevent opening and contamination in receiving area.
- List any special handling notifications or warnings
- LIGO Contact person and information
- Part ID, serial number or other identifying data
- Shipping manifest with long text description of enclosed items

## **1.8. Storage**

Supplier shall protect system or components against environmental damage or unauthorized personnel access prior to shipment of finished goods. All items shall be stored with appropriate labeling to ensure removal from storage and transport of item is accurate.

## **1.9. Transport**

Transport of items to LIGO facilities shall be handled via LIGO approved shipper, and will be insured as directed in the LIGO-Supplier's contract. All critical and/or environmentally sensitive items must be shipped in a manner that will minimize damage in transit. Supplier and shipping company shall utilize proper ride mechanism (air ride or other specific suspension types, isolation techniques, etc), container types and handling methods to protect sensitive items, such as optics and electronics, from vibration, shock pulse, impacts and crushing, as defined by LIGO. Shipping containers and the items they contain shall be properly secured for transport to avoid falling and shuffling of goods internally.

## **1.10. Customs**

Customs documentation will be filled out appropriately to ensure proper handling, contamination controls and timely throughput while in possession of customs agents. This includes labeling which clearly defines contents, hazards, valuation and contact information. For all customs requirements please contact Rod Luna at Caltech, email luna\_r@ligo.caltech.edu

## **2. Manufacture, Assembly and Receiving Inspection Requirements**

### **2.1. Pre-Award Inspection**

Prior to contract award LIGO staff may perform an audit of the prospective supplier quality programs. The need to perform an audit will be determined by the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR), the subsystem leader and/or the chief engineer, based on criticality, cost, and use case of components. The audit scope includes but is not limited to:

- Calibration program review.
- Maintenance and reliability programs for manufacturing equipment.
- Critical worker certification levels (i.e., welding, electrical, CNC, etc.).
- Supplier QA/QC program and how it will be implemented for Advanced LIGO contracts.
- Manufacturing methodologies, especially as regards cleanliness and use of approved materials and fluids.
- Cleaning and packaging methodologies compared to RFP/RFQ requirements.

## **2.2. Supplier in Process Quality Control**

Critical processes shall be controlled using manufacturing travelers or procedures established and qualified prior to LIGO equipment production. As an example, these manufacturing procedures shall include:

- Equipment to be used including calibration requirements.
- Identification of operational constraints.
- Workmanship standards.
- Call-outs for inspections, tests, and other verification processes.
- Acceptance criteria.

## **2.3. In Process Inspection**

In-process inspections shall be performed where subsequent assembly stages will prevent/limit inspection access, and to detect defects early in the process. In-process inspections shall be identified in fabrication and assembly by planning Mandatory Control Points (MCPs). Suppliers shall document all deficiencies and discrepancies, and report immediately to LIGO. Electronic format, via email transmission, is the preferred method of report delivery.

## **2.4. Pre-Shipment Inspection**

Supplier shall inspect and validate system integrity prior to shipment of equipment to any LIGO site. LIGO may choose to send a representative to participate in inspections deemed critical. Pre-shipment inspection of equipment to be delivered to the LIGO observatories shall include the following (as appropriate):

- End Item Data Package review.
- Certificate of Compliance, where required.
- Shipping documentation such as the manifest or shipper.
- LIGO property control documentation, when LIGO materials are in possession of a supplier.
- Verification of the adequacy of the shipment packaging and weather protection.
- Evidence of contractor quality assurance acceptance.
- Evidence of safety requirements compliance.
- Verification that transportation environmental controls and monitoring requirements will be satisfied.

## **2.5. Receiving Inspection**

Receiving inspection will be performed to ensure that articles procured by LIGO, or its suppliers, conform to contractual or procurement document requirements prior to release of payment to supplier. This will be a time-critical activity. Receiving inspection includes the following (as applicable):

- Inspection of incoming hardware and documentation for compliance to applicable Drawings, Specifications, and/or other documentation specified by the procurement documentation.
- Evidence of acceptance by contractor/supplier inspection.
- Evidence of source inspection acceptance as applicable.
- Identification of deviations from requirements specified in the procurement documentation.
- Securing dispositions of discrepant materials.
- Verification that equipment complies with shipping, handling and safety constraints.
- Identification of hardware acceptance status with appropriate labels.
- Documentation of receiving inspection, one copy stored at site and a second sent to procurements for potential release of payments, shall be completed by LIGO personnel.

## **2.6. Discrepant Material**

When an article does not conform to applicable engineering design documentation it shall be identified as non-conforming. It shall be segregated from on-going work operations, and held for further action. When a discrepancy has been identified and documented it shall be reported immediately to the responsible LIGO science or engineering personnel and the LIGO Quality Assurance Officer.

Only LIGO personnel responsible for the item submitted to the discrepancy evaluation process, or their designees are authorized to issue dispositions for the discrepant item. Initial discrepant hardware dispositions include the following:

- Rework to drawing or specification.
- Repair: Articles that are modified to a useable state but remain nonconforming to drawing or specification requirements.
- Return to vendor.
- Use-as-is: Articles that are useable in the present state without further processing.
- Suspended Action: Articles of which resolution is determined after drawing or specification change, or after hardware fit check.
- Scrap.

LIGO quality assurance representative concurrence is required for all LIGO science or engineering personnel discrepant material dispositions.

## **2.7. Material Review Action**

The Material Review Action shall determine dispositions of nonconforming articles that cannot be resolved by the initial discrepant hardware disposition. For discrepant articles submitted to Material Review Action the LIGO Project Manager shall, with the concurrence of the LIGO Quality Assurance Officer, determine the final disposition.

## **2.8. Material Review Actions at Contractor**

Material Review Actions conducted at a contractor are the responsibility of that contractor. LIGO personnel will not participate as members of the contractor's Material Review Board. However, Contractor Material Review Actions will be subject to review and concurrence by LIGO engineering and quality assurance personnel. Contractor Material Review Actions shall become a part of the EIDP.

## **2.9. Discrepant Material Storage**

Discrepant Material shall be identified and to the degree possible, separated from acceptable material until the disposition action has been completed.

## **2.10. Quality Records**

The LIGO Quality Assurance Office will maintain quality records which provide evidence of inspections, tests, as built configuration, and Material Review Actions. The LIGO Project Office will establish a facility and procedures for the long term storage of LIGO project QA documentation and other related records. Satellite record storage facilities may also be established at the observatory sites for equipment or materials located at or peculiar to the site. This data shall be maintained for at least the duration of the 20 year operational life of the observatories.