# LIGO



## Estimating the Accidental Coincidence Rates in Searches for Gravitational Waves from Compact Binary Coalescences with LIGO







LIGO Livingston

## LIGO The Compact Binary Coalescence Search

Binary Neutron Stars (BNS)
Binary Black Holes (BBH)
Black Hole-Neutron Star Binary (BHNS)





#### **Statistical Significance**

LIGO



The statistical significance of the cbc candidates is estimated from time-shifted triggers



# Utilizing Time-shifted Triggers



#### **Ex: S4 Binary Neutron**

Star search

[Phys. Rev. D 77

#### (2008) 062002]

Total analyzed time = 576 hrs;

No detection found



#### Problems with $\rho_{\text{eff}}$ ranking:

combined eff snr

Rank by False Alarm Rate (FAR) Cum. hist of num. events vs combined  $\rho_{\text{eff}}$  H1H2L1 Combine double, triple coincidences 10 Plot IFAR = 1/FAR loudest **triple** coinc. Number of Events 1.0 in triple obs. time Cum. hist of num. events vs combined IFAR for triple obs. time A A A zero-lag background  $N^{1/2}$  errors Number of Events 1000 50 We expect a real GW 60 80 90 100110 120 70 combined eff snr to lie at high IFAR Cum. hist of num. events vs combined  $\rho_{eff}$  H1L1 100 1000 10 loudest double coinc Number of Events 10 1 in triple obs. time 1  $10^{-4}$ 10-3  $10^{-2}$  $10^{-1}$  $10^{\circ}$ IFAR (yr) 0.1 80 20 40 60 100 120 140 160 180

Solution:

plots from s5 1<sup>st</sup> yr low mass search [arXiv:0901.0302]

#### Problems with $\rho_{\text{eff}}$ ranking:



Solution:

plots from s5 1<sup>st</sup> yr low mass search [arXiv:0901.0302]



\*S4 Primordial Black Hole Search [Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 062002]

# Meaningful False Alarm Rates



# **THANK YOU!**